arXiv:1407.7201v3 [math.AT] 12 Oct 2015

Splitting Madsen-Tillmann spectra I. Twisted transfer maps

Takuji Kashiwabara

Institut Fourier, CNRS UMR 5582, Université de Grenoble I,
38402 St Martin d'Hères cedex France
takuji.kashiwabara@ujf-grenoble.fr

Hadi Zare *

School of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science, College of Science,
University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran 14174
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P.O. Box: 19395-5746, Niyavaran, Tehran, Iran
hadi.zare@ut.ac.ir

Abstract

In parallel to Becker and Gottlieb, we record various properties of twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer maps, as well as a factorisation result for Becker-Gottlieb transfer through Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer; some of these might be well known. Applying these, we show that $BSO(2n+1)_+$ splits off $MTO(2n)$, which after localisation away from 2, refines to a homotopy equivalence $MTO(2n) \simeq BO(2n)_+$ as well as $MTO(2n+1) \simeq *$ for all $n \geqslant 0$. This reduces the study of $MTO(n)$ to the 2-localised case. At the prime 2 our splitting allows to identify some algebraically independent classes in mod 2 cohomology of $\Omega^\infty MTO(2n)$.

We also show that BG_+ splits off MTK for some pairs (G, K) at appropriate set of primes p, and investigate the consequences for characteristic classes, including algebraic independence and non-divisibility of some universally defined characteristic classes, generalizing results of Ebert and Randal-Williams.

Contents

1 Introduction and statement of results **2**

*The second author has been supported in part by IPM Grant No. 92550117. He also acknowledges a partial support from the University of Tehran.

2 Preliminaries **7**
2.1 Grassmanian and classifying spaces 7
2.2 Thom spaces and Thom spectra 7
2.3 Cohomology of classifying spaces and characteristic classes 8
2.4 Central extension of Lie groups 9
2.5 *Pin* groups and *Pin*-bundles 9
2.6 Real projective spaces and their tangent bundle 10
2.7 Localisation of spectra and spaces 10
2.8 Infinite loop spaces 10
2.9 Splitting of spectra 11
2.10 The rational stable homotopy theory 12
2.11 Hopf algebras 12
2.12 Homology of infinite loop spaces 13
2.13 Adams' splittings of $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ and KU and the Segal splitting 14
2.14 Homology suspension 15

3 Transfer maps and a cofibration of Thom spectra **16**
3.1 Hopf's vector field Theorem 17
3.2 The Becker-Gottlieb transfer 17
3.3 Umkehr maps: Factorising transfer maps and Gysin homomorphism 18
3.4 Transfer for Lie groups 20
3.4.1 Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer 20
3.5 Cofibre of transfer maps 22
3.6 Cohomology of Madsen-Tillmann spectra 23

4 Proof of main results **23**

5 $MTO(n)$ at odd primes **26**

6 Polynomial families in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ **27**

7 The universally defined characteristic classes in modulo p cohomology **27**

8 Cohomology with integer coefficients **29**

9 Non-exactness results **30**

A Notes on the construction of the Becker-Gottlieb transfer **31**

B Multiplicative properties of twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer **32**

1 Introduction and statement of results

For $\mathbf{G} = O, U, SO, SU, Sp, Pin,$ or $Spin$, the Madsen-Tillmann spectrum $MT\mathbf{G}(n)$ ([24]) is defined to be $B\mathbf{G}(n)^{-\gamma_n}$, the Thom spectrum of $-\gamma_n$ where $\gamma^n \longrightarrow B\mathbf{G}(n)$ is the canonical n-dimensional bundle and $B\mathbf{G}(n)$ is the classifying space for n-dimensional G-vector bundles; the dimension is understood to be over $\mathbb{C}$ in the cases of U, SU, and over $\mathbb{H}$ in the case of $\mathbf{G} = Sp$. Since the associated infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty MT\mathbf{G}(n)$ classifies fibre bundles whose fibres are homeomorphic to an n-dimensional manifold with G-structure (see e. g. [19] for a nice account), the cohomology ring $H^*(\Omega^\infty MT\mathbf{G}(n); R)$ then contains the R-characteristic classes for such bundles, where R is some relevant ring. It is known that $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{G}(n); \mathbb{Q})$

is just a free commutative algebra generated by $H^{*>0}(MT\mathbf{G}(n);\mathbb{Q})$. As the torsion-free quotient of $H^{*>0}(MT\mathbf{G}(n);\mathbb{Z})$ injects to $H^{*>0}(MT\mathbf{G}(n);\mathbb{Q})$, this gives us a good knowledge of the torsion-free quotient of $H^{*>0}(MT\mathbf{G}(n);\mathbb{Z})$. To understand the remaining torsion part, we need to understand $\mathbb{Z}/p$-coefficient case. However, the problem over $R = \mathbb{Z}/p$ seems rather difficult. In fact, over $\mathbb{Z}/2$, the only existing computations in the literature are due to Galatius and Randal-Williams; they have shown that there exist short exact sequences of Hopf algebras

$$H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{G}(n);\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_*(Q_0 B\mathbf{G}(n)_+;\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{G}(n-1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

where $\mathbf{G} = SO$ with $n = 2$ (equivalently with $\mathbf{G} = U$ and $n = 1$) [22, Theorem 1.3], and $\mathbf{G} = O$ with $n = 1, 2$ [48, Theorem A, Theorem B]. Here, $Q = \Omega^\infty\Sigma^\infty$ and the subindex 0 corresponds to the base point component of the related loop space. The maps in these short exact sequences are induced by maps of spectra given by a cofibration of spectra in the case of $\mathbf{G} = O, SO$ [24, Proposition 3.1]

$$MT\mathbf{G}(n) \xrightarrow{\omega} B\mathbf{G}(n)_+ \longrightarrow MT\mathbf{G}(n-1).$$

The case for $\mathbf{G} = Spin$ with $n = 2$ has been treated in [23, Therorems 1.2, 1.3, 1.7], the results don't allow a description as simple as the cases above.

At odd primes, as far as we are aware, aside from some degenerate cases, the only computation is due to Galatius for the case of $\mathbf{G} = U$, $n = 1$ [22, Theorem 1.4]. It is therefore of interest for people working in the field to proceed with further computations, or at least identify nontrivial torsion classes in (co-)homology of $\Omega^\infty MT\mathbf{G}(n)$. We are interested in splitting these spectra, so that some more familiar pieces could be identified which consequently tell us about pieces of cohomology rings $H^*(\Omega^\infty MT\mathbf{G}(n);\mathbb{Z}/p)$. We shall use standard methods of stable homotopy theory, which in this paper is mainly based on using various transfer maps, and Steinberg idempotent as well as the Whitehead conjecture in a sequel [28].

Our first result reads as follows. First, without any localisation, we have

Theorem 1.1.A. *Let (K, G) be a pair where*

1. *$K = O(2n)$, $G = SO(2n+1)$;*
2. *$K = Pin^+(4n)$, $G = Spin(4n+1)$;*
3. *$K = Pin^-(4n+2)$, $G = Spin(4n+3)$;*

Then BG_+ splits off stably off MTK.

At odd primes, we have some more

Theorem 1.1.B. *Let (K, G) be a pair where*

1. *$K = SO(2n)$, $G = SO(2n+1)$ or equivalently $K = Spin(2n)$, $G = Spin(2n+1)$;*
2. *$K = O(2n)$, $G = O(2n+1)$;*

Then localized at an odd prime p, BG_+ splits off stably off MTK and we have $MTK \simeq BG_+ \vee \Sigma MTG$. Furthermore, in case (ii), after localisation at an odd prime, the splitting reduces to $MTO(2n) \simeq BO(2n)_+$, $MTO(2n-1) \simeq *$.

Thus we have, at odd primes,

$$MTO(2n) \simeq BSO(2n+1)_+ \simeq BO(2n)_+ \simeq BO(2n+1)_+ \simeq BSp(n)_+,$$

Note that the homotopy equivalence $BSO(2n+1)_+ \simeq BO(2n+1)_+$ implies that at an odd prime p, Theorem 1.1.A (i) is a part of 1.1.B (ii).

For complex Lie groups, we need somewhat odd looking condition on p, and we have

Theorem 1.1.C. *Let $K = U(n)$, $G = SU(n+1)$. Suppose that p doesn't divide $n+1$. Then BG_+ splits off stably off MTK, after p-localisation.*

Since a splitting of spectra implies that of infinite loop spaces, we have the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let (K, G) and p be as in one of the above theorems. Then QBG_+ splits off $\Omega^\infty MTK$ as an infinite loop space.*

An immediate implication of the above observation is that $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTK; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ contains as a tensor factor a copy of $H^*(QBG_+; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Hence, we obtain infinitely many nontrivial classes in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTK; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Next, notice that for any space X, we have $\Sigma^\infty(X_+) \cong \Sigma^\infty(X) \vee S^0$, which implies the following.

Corollary 1.3. *S^0 splits off MTK if $K = O(2n), Pin^+(4n)$ or $Pin^-(4n+2)$ without localisation involved, if $K = SO(2n)$ after localised at an odd prime p, or if $K = U(n)$ after localised at a prime not dividing $n+1$. At the level of infinite loop spaces, QS^0 splits off $\Omega^\infty MTK$ at p under one of these hypotheses.*

Our next observation is that splitting S^0 off $MT\mathbf{G}(n)$ can also be obtained using the Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss map, including the case $\mathbf{G} = Sp$, hence a slightly stronger result.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose there exists a manifold M with K-structure. Then S^0 splits off MTK at a prime p if p doesn't divide $\chi(M)$. In particular,*

1. *S^0 splits off MTK when $K = O(2n)$, $Pin^+(4n)$ or $Pin^-(4n+2)$.*
2. *S^0 splits off MTK when $K = SO(2n)$ after localized at an odd prime.*
3. *S^0 splits off MTK when $K = U(n)$ or $Sp(n)$ if p doesn't divide $n+1$.*

We note that in both cases, the map from MTK to S^0 is obtained by the composition

$$MTK \xrightarrow{\omega} BK_+ \xrightarrow{c} S^0,$$

where c is the "collapse" map, that is the map that sends the base point to the base point, all the rest to the other point in S^0.

At the relevant primes, the above results show the potential complication in computing ${}_p\pi_* MT\mathbf{G}(n)$ whereas from homological point of view it shows that $\mathbb{Z}/p$-homology of $\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{G}(n)$ contains a copy of $H_*(Q_0 S^0; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, which imply results on some famillies of characteristic classes as we will discuss in Sections 6,7: our splitting results allow us to obtain information on the (co-)homology of $\Omega^\infty MT\mathbf{G}(n)$, with various choices for G, for infinitely many values of n at the relevant primes, by identifying infinitely many algebraically independent classes. For example, we can identify polynomial subalgebras in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MT\mathbf{G}(n); \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let G be $O(2n)$, $U(2n)$, $Sp(2n)$, $Pin^+(4n)$ or $Pin^-(4n+2)$. The composition*

$$MTG \xrightarrow{\omega} BG_+ \xrightarrow{c} S^0 \xrightarrow{i} KO,$$

where c is the "pinch map", i.e., map that sends the basepoint to the basepoint, and all the rest to the other point in S^0, and i is the unit map, induces an injection in mod 2 cohomology of infinite loop spaces

$$H^*(\mathbb{Z} \times BO; \mathbb{Z}/2) \hookrightarrow H^*(\Omega^\infty MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Thus if we define the class $\xi_i \in H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ by

$$\xi_i = (\omega \circ c \circ i)^*(w_i),$$

then we have

$$\mathbb{Z}/2[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k, \ldots] \subset H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Let $F \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ be a manifold (with suitable structure) bundle, with the Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss map $f : B \to \Omega^\infty_0 MTG$. One can define the characteristic class $\xi_i(E)$ of this bundle simply as the pull-back $\xi_i(E) = f^*(\xi_i)$. Note that as in [48, Theorem 6.2], one can give a more geometrical interpretation of these characteristic classes, with the equality $\xi_i(E) = w_i(trf^*_\pi(1))$ where trf is the transfer in KO-cohomology, and $trf^*_\pi(1)$ is the virtual bundle defined by $\Sigma(-1)^i[H^i(F_b, \mathbb{R})]$ ([7, Theorem 6.1].)

Note that in the case of $MTO(2)$, we have, $\tau(\xi_i) = \chi_i$ where the τ is the conjugation of the Hopf algebra $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(2))$, where χ_i's are defined in [48, Theorem C]. This is because $w_i(V)$ and $w_i(-V)$ are related by the conjugation of the Hopf algebra $H^*(BO)$, and the maps of Hopf algebra respect the conjugation.

At prime 2, noting that $H^*(BU; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ injects to $H^*(BO; \mathbb{Z}/2)$, we can define a similar polynomial family in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ by using Chern classes instead of Stiefel-Whitney classes. Of course, this is of limited interest as the Chern classes are simply the square of Stiefel-Whitney classes. At odd primes, however, the use of Chern classes seems to be appropriate. We have the following.

Theorem 1.6. *Let G and p be as in Corollary 1.3. Then after localisation at p, the composition*

$$MTG \xrightarrow{\omega} BG_+ \xrightarrow{c} S^0 \xrightarrow{i} KU$$

where c is the "pinch map" and i is the unit map, factors through the Adams summand $E(1)$ and induces an injection in mod p cohomology of infinite loop spaces

$$H^*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p[c_{p-1}, c_{2(p-1)}, \ldots] \hookrightarrow H^*(\Omega^\infty MTG; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

Thus if we define the class $\xi_i \in H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ by

$$\xi_i = (\omega \circ c \circ i)^*(c_i),$$

then we have

$$\mathbb{Z}/2[\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_k, \ldots] \subset H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

Again we can interpret the characteristic class ξ_i geometrically as before, using appropriate Chern classes and KU-cohomology instead of Stiefel-Whitney classes and KO-cohomology.

Another family of characteristic classes, arising from the cohomology of the classifying space BG, are discussed in [48, Subection 2.4].

Definition 1.7. *A universally defined characteristic class is an element in the image of the map*

$$H^*(BK; R) \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\infty *}} H^*(Q_0(BK_+); R) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTK; R).$$

We write $\overline{\nu}_c$ for the image of $c \in H^*(BK; R)$ in $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTK; R)$. For a manifold bundle with K structure over B claissified by the Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss map $B \to \Omega^\infty_0 MTK$, $\overline{\nu}_c(E)$ is defined by $\overline{\nu}_c(E) = f^*(\overline{\nu}_c) \in H^*(E; R)$.

Although this is not the usual definition, the arguments as in the proof of [48, Theorem 2.4] show that this agrees with the usual one. This includes Wahl's ζ classes, Randal-Williams' μ-classes, and the Miller-Morita-Mumford κ classes, we will come back to this later. The method shown in [48, Example 2.6] gives some relations among them. For concreteness' sake, let's specialize to the case where $K = O(2n), G = SO(2n+1)$ and $R = \mathbb{Z}/2$, although similar results can be obtained for other pairs (K, G) and other base field $R = \mathbb{Z}/p$ satisfying one of hypotheses of Theorems 1.1.A, 1.1.B, or 1.1.C. Our splitting theorem can be used to show that, for classes arising from the summand $H^*(BSO(2n+1); \mathbb{Z}/2) \subset H^*(BO(2n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$, there can be no other relations. In a subsequent work [28], we will discuss relations among other classes, and in particular establish a complete set of relations when $n = 1$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $\nu_{i_2,\cdots,i_{m+1}}$ be the image of $w_2^{i_2}\cdots w_{m+1}^{i_{m+1}} \in H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ in $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ where $m=2n$ by the composition*

$$H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(BO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(Q_0(BO(m));\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2).$$

In other words, $\nu_{i_2,\cdots,i_{m+1}} = \overline{\nu}_{Bj^*(w_2^{i_2}\cdots w_{m+1}^{i_{m+1}})}$ where $j : O(2n) \to SO(2n+1)$ will be defined in Section 4. Then the only relations among these classes are the ones generated by

$$\nu^2_{i_2,\cdots,i_{m+1}} = \nu_{2i_2,\cdots,2i_{m+1}}.$$

Thus the classes $\nu_{i_2,\cdots,i_{m+1}}$ with at least one i_k odd are algebraically independent.

Our method can also be applied to the cohomology with integer coefficient: we will show

Theorem 1.9. *The classes $\zeta_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$ are not divisible in $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$ where $\zeta_{i_1,\ldots,i_m}$ are the universally defined characteristic as in above from the Pontryagin classes $p_1^{i_1}\cdots p_m^{i_m} \in H^*(BO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$, that is $\zeta_{i_1,\ldots,i_m} = \overline{\nu}_{p_1^{i_1}\cdots p_m^{i_m}}$.*

The case $m=1$, combined with the homological stability theorem of [55] is Theorem A of [20].

Our next observation, is somehow a 'non-theorem'; it tells that computations such as Galatius' and Randal-Williams' were somehow exceptional cases and for an infinite family of Madsen-Tillmann spectra, such a description in terms of short exact sequences is not available. We have the following.

Proposition 1.10. *Suppose the pair of groups $(\mathbf{K}(m), G)$, and the prime p satisfies hypotheses of Theorems 1.1.A, 1.1.B or 1.1.C, so that BG_+ splits off $MT\mathbf{K}(m)$, and that G is non-trivial. Suppose further if $K=O$, then $p=2$. Then, at the prime p, the sequence of Hopf algebras*

$$H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H_*(Q_0 B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p)$$

induced by the cofibration for Madsen-Tillmann spectra is not short exact.

However, in our subsequent work [28], we will exhibit summands of $MTO(n)$'s for which such exact sequences exist. We conclude by saying that in the case of $MTO(2)$, the results here together with results from [28] reveals the following.

Theorem 1.11. *Let $D(n)$ be the cofibre of $Sp^{2^{n-1}}S^0 \to Sp^{2^n}S^0$ induced by the 2-fold diagonal $X \to X^{\times 2}$ where $Sp^{2^n}S^0$ is the 2^n-th symmetric power of S^0. Then, completed at $p=2$, we have*

$$MTO(2) \simeq BSO(3)_+ \vee \Sigma^{-2}D(2).$$

Throughout the paper, we identify a (pointed) space with its suspension spectrum when there is no risk of confusion, and for a space X, we note X_+ the space X with the disjoint basepoint added. For a (virtual) vector bundle $\alpha \to B$ over some CW-complex B, we write B^α for the Thom (spectrum) space of α. For a space B, we use both notations $\mathbb{R}^k$ and $B\times\mathbb{R}^k$ for the k-dimensional trivial vector bundle which will be clear from the context; the notation $\mathbb{R}^k$ also denotes the k-dimensional Euclidean space as usual. The notation $\simeq$ denotes (local) homotopy equivalence of spectra. By abuse of notation, $\cong$ is used to denote homeomorphism of spaces or isomorphism of algebraic objects which will be clear from the context. We shall write $\mathbb{Z}/p$ for the cyclic group of order p, and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ for p-localisation of the ring of integers. p will always denote a (postivie) prime integer, and all spaces and spectra are localised at p unless stated otherwise.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some preliminary materials, most of which are rather standard in homotopy theory, for the convenience of the reader.

2.1 Grassmanian and classifying spaces

Denote by $G(k, d+k)$ the Grassmanian, the manifold of d-codimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{d+k}$. Given a d-codimensional subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+k}$, via identification $\mathbb{R}^{d+k+1} \cong \mathbb{R}^{d+k} \times \mathbb{R}$ we can think of $V \times \mathbb{R}$ as a d-codimensional subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{d+k+1}$. Thus one can consider $G(k, d+k)$ as a subset of $G(k+1, d+k+1)$. By taking the union over all k's, we obtain

$$BO(d) \cong \cup_k G(k, d+k).$$

This space classifies d-dimensional vector bundles, and as is well-known, it is also the classifying space of the group $O(d)$.

We can also consider the $G^+(k, d+k)$, the manifold of oriented d-codimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{d+k}$. This gives rise to

$$BSO(d) \cong \cup_k G^+(k, d+k),$$

which classifies d-dimensional oriented vector bundles. When $d = 0$, with our definition, we get $BSO(0) \cong S^0$, which is *not* homotopy equivalent to the classifying space of the group $SO(0)$. This occurs because in dimension 0, giving an orientation to a vector bundle is *not* equivalent to the reduction of the structure group to the special orthogonal group, unlike in higher dimensions. Although this is an abuse of notation, we will write $BSO(0)$ to denote the "classifying space" of 0-dimensional vector bundle obtained by the Grassmanian construction.

For other groups G, we can apply similar construction, and except for the case $\mathbf{G} = Spin$ or $\mathbf{G} = SU$ and $d = 0$ when we get $BSpin(0) \simeq B\mathbb{Z}/2 \times S^0$ and $BSU(0) \simeq S^1$, our $B\mathbf{G}(d)$'s agree with the classifying spaces of the groups $\mathbf{G}(d)$.

2.2 Thom spaces and Thom spectra

Let X be a space, ζ a n-dimensional (real) vector bundle over it. In our applications, X will be a classifying space of some Lie group, ζ will be a bundle obtained by its representation, but what follows here will be valid for any vector bundle over any (good) space. Denote $X^\zeta = D/S$ where D and S are the total spaces of the associated disc and sphere bundles respectively. This is the Thom space of the vector bundle ζ . If ζ is orientable in the usual sense, then for any coefficient k, we have the Thom isomorphism $H^*(X) \cong \tilde{H}^{*+n}(X^\zeta; k)$. For any vector bundle ζ, we still have the Thom isomorphism for $k = \mathbb{Z}/2$. For convenience sake, we say that ζ is orientable for $H^*(-; k)$ if the Thom isomorphism $H^*(X) \cong \tilde{H}^{*+n}(X^\zeta; k)$ holds, that is, an orientable vector bundle is orientable for $H^*(-; k)$ for any k, and any vector bundle is orientable for $H^*(-; \mathbb{Z}/2)$.

The Thom isomorphism is stable in the sense that, if $\zeta' = \zeta \oplus \mathbb{R}^m$, then the Thom isomorphism for $X^{\zeta'} \cong \Sigma^m X^\zeta$ is given by the composition of the Thom isomorphism for X^ζ and the cohomology suspension isomorphism. Thus it makes sense to talk about the Thom isomorphism for X^ζ when ζ is a virtual bundle of the form $\zeta' - \mathbb{R}^m$ with ζ' a genuine vector bundle over X, and in this case X^ζ is defined to be $\Sigma^{-m} X^{\zeta'}$. On the other hand, if X is a finite complex, then $KO^0(X)$ is finite, which implies that any virtual bundle ζ over X can be written as $\zeta' - \mathbb{R}^m$ withζ' a genuine vector bundle. Thus we see that we can define the Thom spectra for any virtual bundle over a finite complex in such a way as Thom isomorphism (if oriented)

holds. For general X, by filtering it by its finite subcomplexes, and using the naturality arguments, we see that we can define the Thom spectra so that the Thom isomorphism holds when it should.

The Thom isomorphism, when it holds, allows us to consider $\tilde{H}^*(X^\zeta;k)$ as a module over $H^*(X;k)$, free of rank 1. However, we would like to make $\tilde{H}^*(X^\zeta;k)$ a $H^*(X;k)$ without the orientability hypothesis. For this purpose, we have the "generalized cup product" ([50, IV.5.36],[18, 2.0.1]) at hand. That is, if ζ is a (genuine) vector bundle over X, then the diagonal $X \to X \times X$ pulls $\zeta \times 0$ back to ζ, thus induces a map of Thom spaces $X^\zeta \to (X \times X)^{\zeta \times 0} \cong X^\zeta \wedge X_+$. This map is *Thom diagonal*, and it induces in cohomology a map $H^*(X;k) \otimes \tilde{H}^*(X^\zeta;k) \to \tilde{H}^*(X^\zeta;k)$, which is called *generalized cup product*. This construction is "stable" in the above sense, thus can be generalized to virtual bundles.

2.3 Cohomology of classifying spaces and characteristic classes

The following is well-known: the ring structure is given by [12, Proposition 23.2] for $\mathbf{G} = SO$, Theorem 19.1 loc.cit in other cases. The computation for $\mathbf{G} = SO, O$ with integral coefficient is [54, Theorem A, Theorem 12.1]. The identification of generators with characteristic classes follow, for example, from [11, Section 9].

Theorem 2.1. *Let k be any ring if $\mathbf{G} = U, Sp$ or SU, an algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ if $\mathbf{G} = O$ or SO, k' be a ring in which 2 is invertible. Then $H^*(B\mathbf{G}(n);k)$ is given as follows: for $n \geq 0$ we have*

$$\begin{array}{lcl} H^*(BO(n);k) & \cong & k[w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n] \\ H^*(BU(n);k) & \cong & k[c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n] \\ H^*(BSp(n);k) & \cong & k[p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n] \end{array}$$

and for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} H^*(BSO(n);k) & \cong & k[w_2, \ldots, w_n] \\ H^*(BSU(n);k) & \cong & k[c_2, \ldots, c_n] \end{array}$$

and further for $m \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{array}{lcl} H^*(BSO(2m);\mathbb{Z}) & \cong & \mathbb{Z}[p_1, \cdots p_m, \chi]/(\chi^2 - p_m) \oplus T \\ H^*(BSO(2m);k') & \cong & k'[p_1, \cdots p_m, \chi]/(\chi^2 - p_m) \\ H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(BO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(BO(2m);\mathbb{Z}) & \cong & \mathbb{Z}[p_1, \cdots p_m] \oplus T \\ H^*(BSO(2m+1);k') \cong H^*(BO(2m+1);k') \cong H^*(BO(2m);k') & \cong & k'[p_1, \cdots p_m] \end{array}$$

where w_i, the i-th Stiefel-Whitney class, has degree i, c_i, the i-th Chern class, has degree $2i$, and $p_i \in H^{4i}(BSp(n);k)$, the i-th symplectic Pontryagin class, $p_i \in H^{4i}(BSO(n);k')$, the i-th Pontryagin class, T is an elementary abelian 2-group. Furthermore, the standard inclusions $SO(n) \subset O(n)$ induce the obvious projections sending w_1 to 0 and other w_i's to w_i's with k coefficients, and similar statement holds for the standard inclusions $SU(n) \subset U(n)$. The inclusions $O(n) \subset U(n)$, sends c_i to w_i^2 when characteristic of k is 2, otherwise c_{2i} to p_i.

This can be stated in a more economic way by saying that for $\mathbf{G} = O, U$ or Sp, $H^*(B\mathbf{G}(n);k) \cong k[x_1, \ldots x_n]$ with the degree of x_i equal to di, where $d = 1, 2$ or 4 depending on whether $\mathbf{G} = O, U$ or Sp, similarly for $H^*(B\mathbf{S}G(n);k)$. Then the standard inclusions $\mathbf{G}(n-1) \subset \mathbf{G}(n)$ induce the obvious projections sending x_n to 0, and other x_i's to x_i.

As the names suggest, these polynomial generators are characteristic classes, more precisely the characteristic classes for universal bundles, or the universal characteristic classes. That is, for example, if V is a real n-dimensional vector bundle over the base space X with classifying map $f : X \to BO(n)$, that is, V is the pull-back of the universal n-dimensional vector bundle over $BO(n)$ via f, then the i-th Stiefel-Whitney class of V is given by $w_i(V) = f^*(w_i)$.

We will need the following property of these classes (the injectivity is given by [12, Proposition 29.2], the image of characteristic classes in [11, 9.1, 9.2, and 9.6]):

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathbf{G} = O, U$ or Sp, k be any ring if $\mathbf{G} = U$ or Sp, a $\mathbb{Z}/2$-algebra if $\mathbf{G} = O$, d as above. The usual inclusion $j : \mathbf{G}(1)^n \to \mathbf{G}(n)$ induces an injection in cohomology, such that we have*

$$Bj^*(x_i) = \sigma_i(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in H^*(B\mathbf{G}(1)^n) \cong k[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$$

where t_i's have degree d, and σ_i denotes the i-th elementary symmetric polynomial.

2.4 Central extension of Lie groups

Let $\alpha : A \to H \to G$ be a central extension of Lie groups with A finite. That is, A lies in the centre of H with an isomorphism $H/A \cong G$. Then we get a principal fibration $BA \to BH \to BG$, which is classified by a map $BG \to K(A, 2)$, which corresponds to a cohomology class $f(\alpha) \in H^2(BG; A)$. According to [3, Chapter IV, Lemma 1.12], f is well-defined and bijective when G is finite. It is easy to see that for G Lie groups, f is still well-defined. Unfortunately, the proof of bijectivity given there doesn't generalize to the Lie group case as is. However, according to [57, Theorem 4], $H^*(BG; A)$ is isomorphic to the "Borel cohomology" of G with coefficients in A, and [44] identifies the second Borel cohomology with the set of central extension. It is now easy to see that our map f coincides with the composition of the two bijections. Thus we get:

Proposition 2.3. *Denote $E(A, G)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of central extension of G by A. Then the above construction gives a well-defined bijection $f_G : E(A, G) \to H^2(BG; A)$.*

An immediate corollary is the following:

Corollary 2.4. *Let $\alpha_i : A \to H_i \to G_i$ be a central extension of Lie groups with A finite for $i = 1, 2$, $\varphi : G_1 \to G_2$ be a Lie group homomorphism. There is a Lie group homomorphism $\varphi' : H_1 \to H_2$ that makes the followind diagram commutative if and only if $\varphi^*(f_{G_2}(\alpha_2)) = \alpha_1$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1 \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi'} \\ H_2 & \longrightarrow & G_2 \end{array}$$

2.5 *Pin* groups and *Pin*-bundles

The orthogonal group $O(n)$ admits several double covers, notably we have central extensions $\mathbb{Z}/2 \to Pin^+(n) \to O(n)$ corresponding to w_2 and $\mathbb{Z}/2 \to Pin^-(n) \to O(n)$ corresponding to $w_2 + w_1^2$ in $H^2(BO(n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Similary the special orhtogonal group $SO(n)$ admits a central extension $\mathbb{Z}/2 \to SPin(n) \to SO(n)$ corresponding to w_2 ([29, p.434]). These groups can also be defined directly using Clifford algebras [5, 30, 34].

Given a real vector bundle V over X, one can ask whether the structure map can be lifted through the *canonical projection* $Pin^{\pm}(n) \to O(n)$. Such a lift is called $Pin^{\pm}(n)$-bundle structure. V admits a Pin^+ (Pin^- respectively) structure if and only if $w_2(V)$ ($w_2(V) + w_1(V)^2$ resp.) vanishes ([30, Lemma 1.3]). For a n-dimensional manifold M, we say that M admits a $Pin^{\pm}(n)$ structure if its tangent bundle admits a $Pin^{\pm}(n)$ structure. Here we note that this is about a factorisation through particular maps $Pin^{\pm}(n) \to O(n)$. Thus although as abstract Lie groups, $Pin^+(4n)$ and $Pin^-(4n)$ are isomorphic (c.f. [16,

example 3 in 1.7, pp. 25-27], communicated to us by Theo Johnson-Freyd,) where they are called $Pin(4n,0)$ and $Pin(0,4n)$), they are not isomorphic as double covers of $O(4n)$, thus the notion of $Pin^+(4n)$ bundle structure and that of $Pin^-(4n)$ structure don't agree.

2.6 Real projective spaces and their tangent bundle

The following is well-known (e.g. [29] p.434):

Proposition 2.5. *$\mathbb{R}P^{4k}$ has a Pin^+ structure and $\mathbb{R}P^{4k+2}$ has a Pin^- structure.*

For the convenience of the reader, we record a proof here. Consider the canonical line bundle V_n over $\mathbb{R}P^n$. Since V_n is the restriction of the canonical line bundle γ_1 over $BO(1)$, if we write $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^n;\mathbb{Z}/2) = \mathbb{Z}/2[x]/(x^n)$, x is just $w_1(V_n)$. Of course, V_n being one dimensional, all higher Stiefel-Whitney classes vanish. Now, as we have (c. f. [27, Chapter 2, Example 4.8]

$$T(\mathbb{R}P^n) \oplus 1_{\mathbb{R}} \cong (n+1)V_n$$

we get

$$w_1(T(\mathbb{R}P^n)) = w_1(T(\mathbb{R}P^n)\oplus 1_{\mathbb{R}}) = w_1((n+1)V_n) = (n+1)x,\ w_2(T(\mathbb{R}P^n)) = w_2((n+1)V_n) = \binom{n+1}{2}x^2.$$

Thus for $n = 4k$ and $n = 4k+2$, $w_1(T(\mathbb{R}P^n)) = x$, whereas for $n = 4k$, $w_2(T(\mathbb{R}P^n)) = x^2$, and for $n = 4k+2$, $w_2(T(\mathbb{R}P^n)) = 0$. Thus we get the Proposition.

2.7 Localisation of spectra and spaces

Most of the time we work at one prime at a time. Thus we can replace safely a spectrum E with its p-localisation $E_{(p)}$, that is, a spectrum such that there is a natural map $l_E : E \to E_{(p)}$ such that $H_*(l_E;k)$ is an isomorphism for any p-local coefficients k, and $\pi_*(E_{(p)})$ as well as $H_*(E_{(p)};\mathbb{Z})$ are p-local [13, Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 3.1]. We have, notably,

Lemma 2.6. *[13, Proposition 2.4] $\pi_*(E_{(p)}) \cong \pi_*(E) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.*

One can also define localisation with respect to any multiplicatively closed set with similar property. Notably, one can talk about a localisation "away from p", or even localisation "at 0", in other words rationalisation $E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with similar properties. However, as is well-known, and as we shall review later, the rational stable homotopy theory is rather trivial, so we are very little concerned with rationalisation. Thus we *don't* adopt a wide-spread convention according to which 0 is considered as a prime number so that "localisation at a prime p" includes the rationalisation.

The localisation exists as well in the homotopy category of spaces. A space X is called nilpotent if $\pi_n(X)$ has a finite filtration such that $\pi_1(X)$ acts trivially on each successive filtration quotient. Then we have

Lemma 2.7. *[14, Proposition 3.1] If X is nilpotent, then its localisation $X_{(p)}$ satisfies $H_*(X_{(p)};\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $\pi_*(X_{(p)}) \cong \pi_*(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$.*

2.8 Infinite loop spaces

An infinite loop space is understood to be a topological space X together with a collection of spaces X_i, $i = 0,1,2,\ldots$, and homotopy equivalences $X_i \to \Omega X_{i+1}$ such that $X = X_0$. Let E be a CW-spectrum with spaces E_i and structure maps $\Sigma E_i \to E_{i+1}$. The infinite loop

space associated to E is defined by $\Omega^\infty E = \text{colim } \Omega^i E_i$; in particular, for a pointed space X, $\Omega^\infty\Sigma^\infty X$ is denoted by QX. By definition $\Omega\Omega^\infty\Sigma E = \Omega^\infty E$. By the adjointness of Ω and Σ, the space $\Omega^\infty E$ has the property that it captures the homotopy of E in nonnegative dimensions, i.e. $\pi_k E \simeq \pi_k\Omega^\infty E$ with $k > -1$.

Furthermore, the functor Ω^∞ from the category of spectra to that of spaces is the right adjoint to the functor Σ^∞.

A map $f : X \to Y$ between infinite loop maps is said to be an infinite loop map if there exist maps $f_i : X_i \to Y_i$ such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega X_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\Omega f_{i+1}} & \Omega Y_{i+1} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y_i \end{array}$$

are commutative. A map of spectra $f : E \to F$, by its definition, provides an infinite loop map of infinite loop spaces $\Omega^\infty f : \Omega^\infty E \to \Omega^\infty F$. Finally, we note that the Ω^∞ has the property that applied to a cofibration of spectra, it yields a fibration of infinite loop spaces and maps; in particular applying Q to a cofibration of spaces results in a fibration of spaces.

If we denote by $\Omega^\infty_0 E$ the connected component corresponding to

$$0 \in \pi_0(\Omega^\infty E) \cong E^0(pt),$$

then we have homotopy equivalence

$$\Omega^\infty E \cong \pi_0(\Omega^\infty E) \times \Omega^\infty_0 E$$

where $\pi_0(\Omega^\infty E)$ is equipped with the discrete topology. Thus the study of homological properties of $\Omega^\infty E$ is more or less reduced to that of $\Omega^\infty_0 E$.

To conclude the subsection, we note that for a space X, $\pi_1(\Omega X)$ acts trivially on $\pi_n(\Omega X)$, so any loop space is nilpotent. In particular, an infinite loop space is nilpotent. Thus by using Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7 we see that the functor Ω^∞ commutes with the localisation. Thus for all purpose of this paper, we can replace safely a spectrum E with its p-localisation $E_{(p)}$, which we we will do throughout the rest of the paper.

2.9 Splitting of spectra

For a spectrum E, we say E splits if there is a homotopy equivalence $E_1 \vee E_2 \to E$. In practice, often we start with known spectra E, E_1 and a map among them going one way or the other, and ask whether if there is such a spectrum E_2. We say that E_1 splits off E when this is the case. We note that the existence of such a spectrum E_2 is equivalent to the existence of a section. That is, we have

Lemma 2.8. *1. Let $i : E_1 \to E$ be a map of spectra. Then E_1 splits off E if and only if there is a map of spectra $r : E \to E_1$ such that $r \circ i$ is a self homotopy equivalence.*

2. Let $r : E \to E_1$ be a map of spectra. Then E_1 splits off E if and only if there is a map of spectra $i : E_1 \to E$ such that $r \circ i$ is a self homotopy equivalence.

Proof. It suffices to take E_2 to be the cofibre of i. □

We note that in the category of spectra, the wedge sum is a product, that is, for any spectra X, we have a natural isomorphism

$$[X, E_1 \vee E_2] \cong [X, E_1] \times [X, E_2].$$

As the infinite loop space functor Ω^∞ is the right adjoint of the infinite suspension Σ^∞, Ω^∞ commutes with the product, thus we see that

Lemma 2.9. *If the spectrum E splits as $E_1 \vee E_2 \to E$ then so does the infinite loop space $\Omega^\infty E$ and we have $\Omega^\infty E \cong \Omega^\infty E_1 \times \Omega^\infty E_2$.*

Remark 2.10. *For spaces, we have two distinct notions of splitting. We say, when $X \cong Y \times Z$, Y splits off X (as a direct factor). As we identify a space with its suspension spectra, we also say, when $\Sigma^\infty X \cong \Sigma^\infty Y \vee \Sigma^\infty Z'$, that Y splits off X (as a stable wedge summand). It is easy to see that the first implies the second. In this paper we use the same word for two notions, as the meaning should be clear from the context.*

2.10 The rational stable homotopy theory

As we wrote earlier, the rational stable homotopy theory is well-known to be rather trivial. More precisely the homotopy group functor π_*, or the homology group functor $H_*(-;\mathbb{Q})$ provides an equivalence between the category of $\mathbb{Q}$-local spectra and that of graded vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$. One way to see this is that any spectrum is a module over the sphere spectrum S^0, so after rationalisation it becomes a module over $S^0_\mathbb{Q}$. However, the latter is just $H\mathbb{Q}$. Thus a rationalised spectrum is a module over $H_\mathbb{Q}$. However, for any (ungraded) ring R, the category of spectra over HR is equivalent to that of graded modules over R.

Therefore, splitting $\mathbb{Q}$-local spectra is equivalent to splitting its homology as a graded vector space. As we have seen that for any spectrum X,

$$H_*(\Omega^\infty_0(X);\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(\Omega^\infty \overline{X};\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(\Omega^\infty(\overline{X}_\mathbb{Q});\mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\overline{X}$ denotes the 0-connective cover of X, that is a spectrum $\overline{X}$ characterized by the property that there exists a map $q : \overline{X} \to X$ such that $\pi_i(\overline{X}) \overset{\pi_i(q)}{\cong} (X)$ for $i > 0$ and $\pi_i(\overline{X}) \cong 0$ for $i \leq 0$, and that $\overline{X}_\mathbb{Q}$ splits as a wedge of the Eilenberg-Maclane spectra $\Sigma^n H\mathbb{Q}$'s, it suffices to determine the homology of $K(\mathbb{Q}, n)$'s with $n > 0$ to determine $H_*(\Omega^\infty_0(X);\mathbb{Q})$ as a functor of $H_*(\overline{X};\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_{*>0}(X;\mathbb{Q})$. $H^*(K(\mathbb{Q}, n))$ is known to be the free commutative (in the graded sense) algebra generated by $\mathbb{Q}$ concentrated in degree n. Thus we see

Proposition 2.11. *Let X be any spectrum. Then $H_*(\Omega^\infty_0(X);\mathbb{Q})$ is naturally isomorphic to the free commutative (in the graded sense) algebra generated by $H_{*>0}(X;\mathbb{Q})$.*

We also note that this follows from [33, Theorem 4.10].

2.11 Hopf algebras

All Hopf algebras in this article are understood to be graded, commutative and cocommutative over the base field $\mathbb{Z}/p$. We say that such a Hopf algebra A is called connected if the degree zero part A_0 is isomorphic to the base field $\mathbb{Z}/p$. Connected Hopf algebras form an abelian category [52, 53]. The only thing we use in this paper concerning this abelian category structure is the fact that a map in the category of connected Hopf algebras is epimorphism if and only if it is surjective as a map of sets. We also note that Hopf algebras are equipped with the conjugation, or canonical (anti)automorphism, that corresponds to the "additive inverse" in the abelian category.

2.12 Homology of infinite loop spaces

For an infinite loop space X, since $X \simeq \Omega^2 X_2$, the homology $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is a graded commutative ring under the Pontryagin product. Moreover, there are Kudo-Araki-Dyer-Lashof homology operations that we will call Dyer-Lashof operations, Q^i which act on $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$. The operation Q^i is a group homomorphism, is natural with respect to infinite loop maps, and is stable with respect to the homology suspension $\sigma_* : H_*(\Omega X;\mathbb{Z}/p) \to H_{*+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$, i.e. $\sigma_* Q^i = Q^i \sigma_*$ [38, Theorem 1.1]. At odd primes ($p = 2$ stated inside brackets) they have the property that:
(1) Q^i raises the dimension by $2i(p-1)$ (raises the dimension by i);
(2) If $x \in H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ with $2i < \dim x$ ($i < \dim x$) then $Q^i x = 0$;
(3) If $x \in H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ with $2i = \dim x$ ($i = \dim x$) then $Q^i x = x^p$;
(4) For the identity element $1 \in H_0(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$, if $i > 0$ then $Q^i(1) = 0$.
These operations satisfy Adem relations, various Cartan formulae, and Nishida relations [38, Theorem 1.1]. The algebra wherein these operations live is the Dyer-Lashof algebra R; it is the free algebra generated by these operations, modulo Adem relations and excess relations. The homology of $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ then becomes an R-module. In some cases, these operations allow a neat description of $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/p)$. For instance, if $X = QY$ with Y some path connected space, then as an algebra, and as an R-module, we have [38, Chapter 1, Lemma 4.10]

$$H_*(QY;\mathbb{Z}/2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2[Q^I y_\alpha : \text{excess}(Q^I y_\alpha) > 0, I \text{ admissible}]$$

where $\{y_\alpha\}$ is an additive basis for $\widetilde{H}_*(Y;\mathbb{Z}/p)$, elements of $\widetilde{H}_*(Y;\mathbb{Z}/p)$being considered elements of $\widetilde{H}_*(QY;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ via the map induced by $Y \to QY \simeq \Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty Y$ adjoint of the identity of $\Sigma^\infty Y$; for $I = (i_1, \ldots, i_s)$, $s \geqslant 0$, $Q^I = Q^{i_1} \cdots Q^{i_s}$, $\text{excess}(Q^I y_\alpha) = i_1 - (i_2 + \ldots + i_s + \dim y_\alpha)$, and I is called admissible if $i_j \leqslant 2i_{j+1}$. By convention the empty sequence ϕ is admissible, $Q^\phi y_\alpha = y_\alpha$ and $\text{excess}(Q^\phi y_\alpha) = +\infty$.

Denote by V the Verschiebung (also called p-th root) map, that is the dual of the p-th power. As Q^i's satisfy the Cartan formula with respect to the coproduct, we have [56, Lemma 3.5]

$$V(Q^{pi}(y)) = Q^i(V(y)).$$

Thus when $p = 2$, if the Verschiebung on $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is surjective, then so it is on $H_*(Q_0 X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ as well, which implies that if $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is polynomial, so is $H^*(Q_0 X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ ([56, Theorem 3.11]).

We note that rational homology of $Q_0 X$ is given by Proposition 2.11. By comparing the two results, we see that all mod p classes involving the Dyer-Lashof operations that are not p-th powers don't have rational counter part. This means, either by an inspection on the universal coefficient theorem or by use of the Bockstein spectral sequence, that integral homology of QX contains torsion groups whose ranks are roughly half of the rank of the part of the mod p homology of QX containing those Dyer-Lashof operations. More precisely, [38, Theorem 4.13] determines the Bockstein spectral sequence for QX in terms of that for X. Thus in theory, one can recover the integral homology of QX from that of X. In any case, even when $H_*(X;\mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, $H_*(QX;\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^*(QX;\mathbb{Z})$ posses lots of torsion classes.

The other cases that we shall consider in this paper, are the spaces $\mathbb{Z} \times BO$ and $\mathbb{Z} \times BU$ which are infinite loop spaces under Bott periodicity; the monoid structure coming from the Whitney sum is compatible with Bott periodicity. They correspond to ring spectra KO and KU, thus there are maps $QS^0 \to \mathbb{Z} \times BO$ and $QS^0 \to \mathbb{Z} \times BU$.

We have notably

$$\begin{array}{llll} H^*(BO;\mathbb{Z}/2) & \cong & \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1,w_2,\dots w_n,\dots] & \cong & \varprojlim H^*(BO(n);\mathbb{Z}/2) \\ H_*(BO;\mathbb{Z}/2) & \cong & \mathbb{Z}/2[a_1,a_2,\dots a_n,\dots] & \cong & \varinjlim H_*(BO(n);\mathbb{Z}/2) \\ H^*(BU;\mathbb{Z}) & \cong & \mathbb{Z}[c_1,c_2,\dots c_n,\dots] & \cong & \varprojlim H^*(BU(n);\mathbb{Z}) \\ H_*(BU;\mathbb{Z}) & \cong & \mathbb{Z}[b_1,b_2,\dots b_n,\dots] & \cong & \varinjlim H_*(BU(n);\mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

The elements w_i's and c_i's are as in Theorem 2.1. As BO classifies stable virtual bundles, this means that we can define the Stiefel-Whitney class $w_i(V)$ for a stable virtual bundle over X with classifying map $f : X \to BO$ by $w_i(V) = f^*(w_i)$. We note that the multiplication by (-1) on the set of virtual bundles corresponds to the "multiplication by (-1)" self-map on BO, thus the conjugation τ on $H^*(BO;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ satisfies $f^*\tau(w_i) = w_i(-V)$. Similar statements hold for BU. As to the homology is concerned, we will use the fact that the elements a_i's and b_i's are respectively the image of a generator of $H_i(BO(1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $H_{2i}(BU(1);\mathbb{Z})$.

The map induced in homology by the unit map was determined in [46], in particular, we have

Lemma 2.12. *[46, Proposition 4.10, $n = 1$ case] The map $H_*(QS^0;\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H_*(\mathbb{Z} \times BO;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is an epimorphism.*

Basically this is because $H_*(BO;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is generated by $H_*(BO(1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$, $H_*(BO(1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is "contained" in $H_*(Q_0S^0;\mathbb{Z}/2)$, and the inclusions $H_*(BO(1);\mathbb{Z}/2) \subset H_*(\mathbb{Z}\times BO;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $H_*(BO(1);\mathbb{Z}/2) \subset H_*(Q_0S^0;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ are compatible.

2.13 Adams' splittings of $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ and KU and the Segal splitting

Let p be an odd prime, KU the complex K-theory spectrum. After localising at p, KU splits as $KU \simeq \vee_{i=0}^{p-2}\Sigma^{2i}E(1)$, where $\pi_*(E(1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$ with degree of v_1 equal to $2(p-1)$ [1, Lecture 4]. Denote j_E the resulting splitting map $E(1) \to KU$. Similary we have a splitting $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \cong \vee_{i=0}^{p-2}X(i)$ with $H^*(X(i)) = 0$ unless $* \equiv 2i.\ \mathrm{mod}\ 2(p-1)$[43].

Since $BU \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is the infinite loop space associated to KU, it also splits as a product of spaces

$$BU \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \simeq \Pi_{i=0}^{p-2}\Omega^\infty\Sigma^{2i}E(1).$$

Thus $\Omega^\infty E(1)$ is a summand of $BU \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Its cohomology can be described as follows:

Lemma 2.13. *Let $k = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/p$. $H^*(\Omega^\infty E(1),k) \cong k[c_{p-1}, c_{2(p-1)}, \dots c_{m(p-1)}, \dots]$, and j_E^* sends $c_{m(p-1)} \in H^{2m(p-1)}(BU;k)$ to $c_{m(p-1)}$ and other c_i's to 0.*

Proof. This can be shown using [25], but here we follow rather the arguments in [26]. Let's start with the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$. For k-vector spaces V, denote by $Sym_k(V)$ the symmetric algebra generated by V, i.e., $\oplus_q V^{\otimes_k q}/\Sigma_q$ with the product induced by the concatenation. Proposition 2.11, we see that for any spectra X with $\pi_{odd}(X) = 0$, we have natural isomorphisms

$$H^*(\Omega_0^\infty X;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*((\Omega_0^\infty X)_\mathbb{Q};\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^*(\Omega_0^\infty(X_\mathbb{Q});\mathbb{Q}) \cong Sym_\mathbb{Q}Hom(\pi_{*>0}(X);\mathbb{Q}).$$

Since $\pi_*(j_E)$ is bijective for $* = 2m(p-1)$ and 0 otherwise, we get the desired result in this case. As $\Omega^\infty E(1)$ is a summand of $BU \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1);\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is torsion-free. Therfore $H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1);\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ injects to $H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1);\mathbb{Q})$. Similary for $BU \times \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Thus we get the result when $k = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$. Finally, one can derive the case $k = \mathbb{Z}/p$ follows from this by the universal coefficient theorem. □

There is another splitting involving the spaces related to them. Consider the orientation map for the KU-theory $\mathbb{C}P^\infty \to BU$. Since the target is an infinite loop space, by the adjointness, it factors through $Q\mathbb{C}P^\infty$. Then the map $Q\mathbb{C}P^\infty \to BU$ splits as a map of spaces, that is, there is a space F such that $Q\mathbb{C}P^\infty \simeq BU \times F$ [51, Theorem]. It turns out that the Adams' splittings of KU and $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$ are compatible, so that Segal's spliting can be refined to the splitting of corresponding Adams' pieces [31, Theorem 1.1]. We have

Proposition 2.14. *The map $\Omega^\infty X(0) \to \Omega^\infty E(1)$ splits, that is we have a space F' such that $\Omega^\infty X(0) \simeq \Omega^\infty E(1) \times F'$. In particular, it induces a surjection in homology with any coefficient.*

This fact leads to the following "complex analogue" of Lemma 2.12

Lemma 2.15. *The unit map of the ring spectrum $E(1)$ induces a surjection $H_*(QS^0; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.14 the map $H_*(\Omega^\infty X(0); \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is surjective. However, as $X(0)$ is a retract of a space $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$, $H_*(\Omega^\infty X(0); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is generated by elements of $H_*(X(0); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ under the Pontrjagin product and Dyer-Lashof operations. But $H_*(X(0); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is the even degree part of $H_*(B\Sigma_p; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, that is we have a following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B\mathbb{Z}/p & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}P^\infty \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B\Sigma_p & \longrightarrow & X(0) \end{array}$$

so that the horizontal arrows kill $H_{odd}(-; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ and induce isomorphisms on $H_{even}(-; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. So the image of $H_*(X(0); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in $H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is just Dyer-Lashof operations applied to the image of the fundamental class of $H_*(QS^0; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in $H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$, up to translation by connected component. Thus $H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is generated by the image of the fundamental class of $H_*(QS^0; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ under the Pontrjagin product and Dyer-Lashof operations. In other words, the map $H_*(QS^0; \mathbb{Z}/p) \to H_*(\Omega^\infty E(1); \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is surjective.

Alternatively, this can be deduced from [46, Proposition 4.6] and Proposition 2.13. □

2.14 Homology suspension

First, let k be any coefficient ring, X a pointed space, and consider the identity $1_{\Omega X} : \Omega X \to \Omega X$. The evaluation map $e : \Sigma\Omega X \to X$ is the adjoint of $1_{\Omega X}$. The homology suspension $\sigma_* : H_*(\Omega X; k) \to H_{*+1}(X; k)$ is defined by the composition

$$H_*(\Omega X; k) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{*+1}(\Sigma\Omega X; k) \xrightarrow{e_*} H_{*+1}(X; k).$$

Homology suspension commutes with loop maps, i.e. for a map $f : X \to Y$ of pointed spaces $\sigma_*(\Omega f)_* = f_*\sigma_*$. Next, let E be a spectrum. The homology $H_*(E; k)$ where k is some coefficient ring is defined by $H_*(E; k) = \operatorname{colim} H_{*+i}(E_i; k)$; in particular, $H_*(\Sigma^\infty X; k) \cong \widetilde{H}_*(X; k)$, the reduced homology of the space X, and $H_*(\Sigma^\infty X_+; k) \cong \widetilde{H}_*(X_+; k) \cong H_*(X; k)$ the unreduced homology of the space X. The stable homology suspension homomorphism $\sigma_*^\infty : H_*(\Omega^\infty E; k) \to H_*(E; k)$ is the map induced by the evaluation map $\Sigma^\infty\Omega^\infty E \to E$ with the latter being adjoint to the identity map $\Omega^\infty E \to \Omega^\infty E$. When X is a ssuspension spectrum, the generalities of adjoint functors ([36, Chapter IV, Theorem 1 (8)]) imply the following:

Lemma 2.16. *For a pointed topological space X, the composition $\Sigma^\infty X \to \Sigma^\infty QX \to \Sigma^\infty X$ is the identity, i.e., $\Sigma^\infty X$ splits off $\Sigma^\infty QX$. Thus stable homology suspension*

$$\sigma_*^\infty : H_*(QX;k) \to H_*(\Sigma^\infty X;k) \cong \widetilde{H}_*(X;k)$$

is an epimorphism.

However, this is not sufficient for our purpose, since we often have to deal with the map from $H_*(Q_0X;k)$ which is slightly smaller if X is not connected because of the decomposition

$$QX \simeq Q_0(X) \times \pi_0(QX), \pi_0(QX) \cong \lim \pi_0(\Omega^n\Sigma^n X) \cong \pi_0^S(X).$$

Fortunately, the spaces X we deal with have the form Y_+ with Y connected. Thus we have the decomposition

$$QX \simeq QY_+ \simeq QY \times QS^0 = Q_0Y \times QS^0,$$

which leads to the following:

Lemma 2.17. *For a connected topological space Y, the composition*

$$\sigma_*^\infty : H_*(Q_0Y_+;k) \to \widetilde{H}_*(Y_+;k) \to \widetilde{H}_*(Y;k)$$

is onto.

Another well-known fact about the homology suspension is the following.

Lemma 2.18. *Let k be a field. The homology suspension $\sigma_* : H_*(\Omega X;k) \to H_{*+1}(X;k)$ factors through the module of indecomposables (with respect to the Pontrjagin product) $QH_*(\Omega X;k)$. In particular, $\sigma_*^\infty : H_*(QX;k) \to H_*(\Sigma^\infty X;k)$ factors through $QH_*(QX;k)$. Dually, $\sigma^{\infty *} : \widetilde{H}^*(X;k) \to H^*(QX;k)$ factors through the set of primitives $PH^*(QX;k)$.*

Of course, $\sigma^{\infty *}$ doesn't preserve the cup product. However, the stability of Steenrod operations implies that $\sigma^{\infty *}$ commutes with the Steenrod operations. In particular, it commutes with the p-th power.

Finally, we note that if $f : E \to F$ is a map of spectra then there is a commutative diagram as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\Omega^\infty E;k) & \xrightarrow{\Omega^\infty f} & H_*(\Omega^\infty F;k) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_*^\infty} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma_*^\infty} \\ H_*(E;k) & \xrightarrow{f} & H_*(F;k) \end{array}$$

that is $\sigma_*^\infty(\Omega^\infty f)_* = f_*\sigma_*^\infty$.

3 Transfer maps and a cofibration of Thom spectra

Our main results are obtained by considering various (twisted) transfer maps among Thom spectra, the relations among these maps, and cofibre sequences for transfer maps, which are still subject of study [4]. We provide the reader with a relatively coherent account on Becker-Gottlieb transfer, Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer, umkehr maps, Gysin homoomrphisms (integration along fibres), and the relation between these maps. Most of the material here ought to be well-known, but some of them, such as our account of twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer, might be new or we have not found a published account on them.

3.1 Hopf's vector field Theorem

We wish to recall a variant of Hopf's theorem, or rather its useful corollary for us, of which implies the main property of transfer [6, Theorem 5.5].

Suppose that G is a compact Lie group. By a G-module V, we mean a real finite dimensional representation V of G equipped with G-invariant metric. We shall write S^V for the G-equivariant sphere obtained by one point compactification of V. If F is a compact manifold, then there exists a G-module V together with a G-equivariant embedding $i : F \to V$ [6, Section 2]. By Pontrjagin-Thom construction, this yields a map $c : S^V \to F^{\nu_i}$. Use the embedding i to equip F with a Riemannian metric obtained from the metric of V. This allows us to consider a trivialisation $\psi : \nu_i + TF \to i^*TV = F \times V$. The map ψ induces a map of Thom space $F^{\nu_i+TF} \to F_+ \wedge S^V$ which we denote by ψ. Finally, let $\pi : F_+ \wedge S^V \to S^V$ be the projection. By Becker-Gottlieb, the following can be obtained from Hopf's vector field thoerem.

Theorem 3.1. *[6, Theorem 2.4] The composition*

$$S^V \xrightarrow{c} F^{\nu_i} \xrightarrow{s} F^{\nu_i+TF} \xrightarrow{\psi} F_+ \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{\pi} S^V$$

where s is the obvious section, is a map of degree $\chi(F)$ - the Euler characteristic of F.

We have a few comments in order:

1. Since V is finite dimensional, the above composite then provides an unstable map.
2. If W is another G-module, then the axial embedding $V \to V + W$, determined by $v \mapsto (v, 0)$, allows to consider the embedding $F \to V \to V + W$ which is still G-equivariant. The Hopf Theorem then implies that the composition

   $$S^{V+W} \to F^{\nu_i+W} \to F^{(\nu_i+TF)+W} \xrightarrow{\psi+1} F_+ \wedge S^{V+W} \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{V+W}$$

   is a map of degree $\chi(F)$.
3. A real finite dimensional inner product space W can be equipped with the trivial G-action, thus can be considered as a 'trivial' G-module.

The last observation will turn out to be useful while working with twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer maps.

3.2 The Becker-Gottlieb transfer

Let $\pi : E \to B$ be a fibre bundle whose fibre F is a smooth compact manifold, and where B is a space that admits a filtration by finite subcomplexes (compact subspaces). This includes the case where B is a finite complex. Roughly speaking, the transfer construction of [6] and [8], uses a 'geometric integration along fibres' to generalise Theorem 3.1 as follows. The Becker-Gottlieb transfer provides a stable map $t_\pi : B_+ \to E_+$ whose stable homotopy class depends on homotopy class of π, such that -

Theorem 3.2. *[6, Theorem 5.5] The composition*

$$B_+ \xrightarrow{t_\pi} E_+ \xrightarrow{\pi} B_+$$

induces multiplication by $\chi(F)$ in $\widetilde{H}^*(-;\Lambda)$ for any Abelian group Λ.

The above theorem implies that if $\chi(F)$ is not divisible by p then stably, localised at p, B_+ splits off E_+. Moreover, for a variety of reasons, it is useful to twist the above transfer map with a (virtual) vector bundle $\zeta \to B$ whose construction we postpone to Subsection 3.3 as well as the Appendix. In this case, one obtains a stable map

$$t_\pi^\zeta : B^\zeta \longrightarrow E^{\pi^*\zeta}$$

which we call the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer, and whose stable homotopy class depends on homotopy class of π and the bundle isomorphism class of ζ. We have -

Theorem 3.3. *The composition*

$$B^\zeta \xrightarrow{t_\pi^\zeta} E^{\pi^*\zeta} \xrightarrow{\pi^\zeta} B^\zeta$$

induces multiplication by $\chi(F)$ in $\widetilde{H}^*(-;\Lambda)$ for any Abelian group Λ where π^ζ detnoed the induced map among Thom spectra. Consequently, if $\chi(F)$ is not divisible by p then stably, localised at p, B^ζ splits off $E^{\pi^*\zeta}$.

Proof. As we don't use this result in the rest of the paper, we only provide a sketch. The diagram (5.1) of [6] together with twisting with the pull-back of the bundle $\zeta \times 0$ (our ζ corresponds to their ξ) leads to a commutative diagram similar to the diagram (5.2) of [6], where suspension spectra are replaced with appropriate Thom spectra, and diagonals with Thom diagonals. Thus, by taking the cohomology, we get our version of [6, formula (5.3)], that is:

${t_\pi^\zeta}^*(\pi^{\zeta *}(x) \cup y) = x \cup t_\pi^*(y)$ where $x \in H^*B^\zeta$ and $y \in H^*E$, $\cup$ denoting the generalized cup product.

The rest follows by setting $y = 1$, and noting that $t_\pi^*(1) = \chi(F)$ as in the proof of [6, Theorem 5.5]. □

Example 3.4. *Let G be a compact Lie group, $K \subset G$ its closed subgroup. Suppose V is a (virtual) representation of G. Then BG^V splits off $BK^{V|_K}$ if $p \nmid \chi(G/K)$. In particular, if G is any compact Lie group and T is its maximal torus, then for the normaliser of T in G, $N_G(T)$, one has $\chi(G/N_G(T)) = 1$ [6, Section 6] which implies that BG^V splits off $BN_G(T)^{V|_{N_G(T)}}$.*

3.3 Umkehr maps: Factorising transfer maps and Gysin homomorphism

The material here ought to be standard and well known; we include a discussion for future reference. We begin with umkehr maps. Suppose $f : E \to B$ is an embedding of compact closed manifolds. An application of Pontrjagin-Thom construction yields a map $f_! : B_+ \to E^{\nu_f}$ with ν_f being the normal bundle of f that we call the umkehr map associated to f. Moreover, if $\zeta \to B$ is some (virtual) vector bundle, then the above construction might be twisted to provide an umkehr map [17], [9, (4.4)]

$$f_!^\zeta : B^\zeta \longrightarrow E^{\nu_f + \zeta|_E}$$

with $\zeta|_E = f^*\zeta$ being the restriction of ζ over E through f.
Second, suppose $\pi : E \to B$ is a fibre bundle between closed compact finite dimensional manifolds, with B a finite complex, whose fibre F is also a closed compact manifold. Since E is compact, by Whitney's embedding theorem, we may choose an embedding $E \to \mathbb{R}^k$, which

allows to extend π to an embedding $j : E \to \mathbb{R}^k \times B$. The umkehr map for such an embedding is a map

$$t : (B \times \mathbb{R}^k)_+ = B^{\mathbb{R}^k} \to E^{\nu_j}$$

whose at least stable homotopy class does not depend on a particular choice of an embedding $E \to \mathbb{R}^k$ for some k. By the discussion of [6, Section 4] (see also [15, Section 2]) the composition

$$\Sigma^k B_+ = (B \times \mathbb{R}^k)_+ = B^{\mathbb{R}^k} \to E^{\nu_j} \to E^{j^*(B\times\mathbb{R}^k)} = (E \times \mathbb{R}^k)_+ = \Sigma^k E_+$$

where the right map is induced by the section $\nu_j \to j^*(B \times \mathbb{R}^k)$, lives in the same stable homotopy class of maps $E_+ \to B_+$ as the Becker-Gottlieb transfer $E_+ \xrightarrow{t_\pi} B_+$. Moreover, for a (virtual) bundle $\zeta \to B$, using the embedding of bundles $\mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^k + \zeta$ of bundles over B, provided by the embedding of the first coordinate, the Pontrjagin-Thom construction yields a (stable) map

$$t^\zeta : B^{\mathbb{R}^k+\zeta} \to E^{\pi^*\zeta+\nu_j}.$$

This is the umkehr map associated to π, twisted by ζ (also known as the transfer map of Boardman [10].) Similarly, the inclusion of bundles $\nu_j \to j^*(\mathbb{R}^k \times B)$ allows us to consider the composition

$$\Sigma^k B^\zeta = B^{\mathbb{R}^k+\zeta} \to E^{\pi^*\zeta+\nu_j} \to E^{j^*(B\times\mathbb{R}^k)+\pi^*\zeta} = \Sigma^k E^{\pi^*\zeta}$$

which agrees with the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer $B^\zeta \to E^{\pi^*\zeta}$; this latter being a mere generalisation of the first factorisation which follows from the construction (see also Section A). We record this for future reference.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose $\pi : E \to B$ is a fibre bundle whose fibre F is a compact closed manifold, where B is a space that admits a filtration by compact closed manifolds. Moreover, suppose $\zeta \to B$ is a (virtual) vector bundle. Then the (twisted) Becker-Gottlieb transfer t_π^ζ factors through a suitable umkehr map.*

As an immediate application, the above formula allows to relate cohomology of transfer maps to the well known Gysin homomorhpism (also known as the integration along fibers). Assuming that F is n-dimensional, ν_j is $(k-n)$-dimensional. If π is R-orientable for a ring spectrum R, that is, if the fibre-wise tangent bundle $T_\pi E$ is R-orientable in the usual sence, then thanks to the Thom isomorphism $Th : R^*E^{\nu_j} \to R^{*-k+n}E_+$, the umkehr map $t : (B \times \mathbb{R}^k)_+ = B^{\mathbb{R}^k} \to E^{\nu_j}$ induces in cohomology a homomorphism known as the Gysin homomorphism which we denote by $\pi_!$ given by the composition

$$\pi_! = Th \circ R^*(t) : R^{*-k+n}E_+ \to R^{*+k}B_+$$

(see also [4, Section 4]). Let $e := e(T_\pi E) \in R^n E_+$ be the Euler class of $T_\pi E$. We then have the following.

Theorem 3.6. *[6, Theorem 4.3]*

1. *For the Becker-Gottlieb transfer t_π we have $t_\pi^*(x) = \pi_!(x \cup e)$.*
2. *Suppose $\zeta \to B$ is a vector bundle for which Thom isomorphism in R-homology holds. Then, for the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer $t_\pi^\zeta : B^\zeta \to E^{\pi^*\zeta}$ we have*

$$t_\pi^\zeta(x) = \pi_!^\zeta(x \cup e^\zeta)$$

where $\pi_!^\zeta = Th^\zeta \circ R^*(t^\zeta) : R^{*-k+n}E^{\pi^*\zeta} \to R^{*+k}B^\zeta$ is the twisted Gysin homomorphism. Here, $Th^\zeta : R^*E^{\nu_j+\pi^*\zeta} \to R^{*-k+n}E^{\pi^*\zeta}$ is the twisted Thom isomorphism, and $e^\zeta \in R^{n+\dim\zeta}E^{\pi^*\zeta}$ is the image of the Euler class under the Thom isomorphism $R^nE_+ \to R^{n+\dim\zeta}E^\zeta$.

Part (ii) of the above theorem, like part (i), follows from the above decomposition of the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer t^{ζ}_{π} through the umkehr map t^{ζ} together with an application of the Thom isomorphism, which we refer to the reader to fill in the details in the same manner as [6] (by twisting when necessary). Note that choosing $\zeta = 0$ yields part (i).

Finally, suppose $\xi \to E$ and $\zeta \to B$ are (virtual) vector bundles together with a choice of a (relative) trivialisation/framing $\phi : \xi + \mathbb{R}^k \cong \pi^*\zeta + \nu_j$, then appealing to ϕ the above twisted umkehr map provides us with a (stable) map

$$t : B^{\zeta} \longrightarrow E^{\xi}$$

referred to as the transfer map associated to the data (ξ, ζ, π, ϕ) [37, (1.5)], [41, (2.1)].

Remark 3.7. *Let's conclude by noting that the compactness assumption above allows to choose $k < +\infty$ and in fact obtain an unstable map whose stable homotopy class depends on π and the twisting bundle ζ. In general, if E and B admit a filtration by finite subcomplexes or compact submanifolds then inductively by restricting to each filtration, one obtains a collection of maps which determine a map of Thom spectra whose stable homotopy class depends on π and the twisting bundle ζ.*

3.4 Transfer for Lie groups

We are interested in the cases that our fibrations arise from Lie groups. For a compact Lie group G and K a closed subgroup, we have a fibre bundle

$$G/K \longrightarrow BK \longrightarrow BG.$$

The classifying spaces BK and BG are not finite dimensional, but admit filtration by finite compact submanifolds. By the discussion in Subsection 3.2 we may speak of the associated Becker-Gottlieb transfer map $BG_+ \to BK_+$ which enjoys main properties of transfer such as [6, Theorem 5.5] which shows that if $\chi(G/K)$ is not divisible by p, then BG_+ stably spits off BK_+ (or splits when localised at p). Such phenomenon is well known, and in the case where G is finite, has been used extensively to study the stable homotopy type of the classifying space BG (e. g. [47]). The case when G is not finite, is also well-known, and for example, it has been shown [58, Lemma 1] that $BSO(2n+1)_+$ splits off $BO(2n)_+$ (this splitting occurs without localisation) and $BSU(n+1)_+$ splits off $BU(n)_+$ unless p divides n.

3.4.1 Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer

Suppose G is a compact Lie group, M a smooth compact manifold on which G acts freely. Consider $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra of G, with an action of G through the adjoint representation. Let $\mu_G = M \times_G \mathfrak{g} \to M/G$ be the adjoint bundle associated to the fibre bundle $M \to M/G$; if M is contractible with a free G-action, i.e. $M = EG$, we write $\mathrm{ad}_G = \mu_G$. By compactness of M, we may assume that M has a Riemannian metric. Note that G acts on the tangent bundle TM. By the existence of Riemannian metric on M, it appears that there is a decomposition [9, (3.1)], [37, Lemma 2.1]

$$TM/G \cong \mu_G + T(M/G).$$

Now, suppose $K < G$ is a closed subgroup and consider the fibre bundle $\pi : M/K \to M/G$. By the umkehr map construction, for some embedding $j : M/K \to \mathbb{R}^k \times M/G$, and a (virtual) vector bundle $\zeta \to M/G$, we have a transfer map

$$(M/G)^{\mathbb{R}^k + \zeta} \longrightarrow (M/K)^{\nu_j + \pi^*\zeta}.$$

Note that $T(M/K) + \nu_j = \pi^* T(M/G \times \mathbb{R}^k) = \pi^*(T(M/G) + \mathbb{R}^k)$. Now, by plugging in the above decomposition for TM/G as well as TM/K, this yields

$$\pi^* \mu_G + \nu_j = \mu_K + \mathbb{R}^k,$$

that is a relative framing. Hence, replacing ζ with $\mu_G + \alpha$ for an arbitrary virtual bundle $\alpha \to M/G$, together with the above relative framing, we obtain a transfer map

$$(M/G)^{\mu_G + \alpha} \longrightarrow (M/K)^{\mu_K + \pi^* \alpha}$$

as in [9, (3.7)], [37, Section 2] which we call Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer associated to π and twisted with α. If we choose M to be a contractible space with a G action, then by approximating M with compact submanifolds, as discussed in Remark 3.7 and Remark A.1, we may consider a transfer map

$$BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G + \alpha} \longrightarrow BK^{\mathrm{ad}_K + \alpha|_K}$$

where $\alpha|_K = \pi^* \alpha$, the restriction of α over BK, is the pull-back of α by the obvious map $\pi : BK \longrightarrow BG$. We note that if α corresponds to a representation ϕ of G, then $\alpha|_K$ corresponds to the restriction $\phi|_K$, and that the adjoint bundle corresponds to the adjoint representation.

We notes that for a fibre bundle $E \to B$ and some twisting bundle $\alpha \to B$ the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer, admits a factorisation through a suitable umkehr map. This is also the case when we work with compact Lie groups; the Becker-Gottlieb transfer admits a factorisation through Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer. The following has to be well known, but we don't know of any published account.

Proposition 3.8. *Suppose G and K are as above. Then, choosing $\alpha = -\mathrm{ad}_G$, the Becker-Gottlieb transfer $BG_+ \to BK_+$ admits a factorisation throughout the Becker-Schultz-Mann-Miller-Miller transfer as*

$$BG_+ \longrightarrow BK^{\mathrm{ad}_K - \mathrm{ad}_G|_K} \to BK_+.$$

Similarly, for arbitrary $\alpha \to BG$, the twisted Becker-Gottlieb admits a factorisation as

$$BG^{\alpha} \to BK^{\mathrm{ad}_K - \mathrm{ad}_G|_K + \alpha|_K} \longrightarrow BK^{\alpha|_K}.$$

Proof. First, note that for $K < G$, $\pi : BK \to BG$, and $\alpha \to BG$, the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer $t^{\alpha}_{\pi} : BG^{\alpha} \to BK^{\pi^* \alpha}$, agrees with the Becker-Gottlieb transfer if we choose $\alpha = 0$, i.e. choose α to be some trivial bundle. Second, recall that the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer admits a factorisation as

$$\Sigma^k B^{\zeta} = B^{\mathbb{R}^k + \zeta} \to E^{\pi^* \zeta + \nu_j} \to E^{\mathbb{R}^k + \pi^* \zeta} = \Sigma^k E^{\pi^* \zeta}$$

for any fibre bundle $\pi : E \to B$ over some B admitting a filtration by compact subspaces, where $\zeta \to B$ is some virtual bundle. Hence, in this case, we have a factorisation

$$\Sigma^k BG^{\zeta} = BG^{\mathbb{R}^k + \zeta} \to BK^{\pi^* \zeta + \nu_j} \to BK^{\mathbb{R}^k + \pi^* \zeta} = \Sigma^k BK^{\pi^* \zeta}.$$

If we choose $\zeta = \mathrm{ad}_G + \alpha$, then together with a relative framing $\mathrm{ad}_K + \mathbb{R}^k \cong \nu_j + \pi^* \mathrm{ad}_G$ we obtain a factorisation of the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer as

$$BG^{\mathbb{R}^k + \mathrm{ad}_G + \alpha} \to BK^{\mathbb{R}^k + \mathrm{ad}_K + \alpha|_K} \to BK^{\mathbb{R}^k + \mathrm{ad}_G|K + \alpha|_K}$$

which upon choosing $\alpha = -\mathrm{ad}_G$ yields the first factorisation. For the the second factorisation, it follows if we simply replace α by $-\mathrm{ad}_G + \alpha$. □

The above proposition, has the following immediate corollary.

Proposition 3.9. *Let G be a compact Lie group, K its closed subgroup, such that $\chi(G/K)$ is not divisible by p. Then, localised at the prime p, BG_+ splits off $BK^{(\mathrm{ad}_K - \mathrm{ad}_G|_K)}$.*

3.5 Cofibre of transfer maps

Suppose we have a fibration $F \to E \to B$ such that the associated Becker-Gottlieb transfer $t : B_+ \to E_+$ provides a (p-local) splitting of B_+ off E_+. Then, the cofibre of the transfer map is the other stable piece in E_+ (which itself may split into smaller pieces), i.e. writing C_t for the cofibre of t there is a homotopy equivalence $E_+ \to B_+ \vee C_t$. Similar statement holds, if we replace the Becker-Gottlieb transfer with a twisted Mann-Miller-Miller transfer. In some cases, there are tools to identify this cofibre, and the one we are interested in is cofibration sequence of Thom spectra due to Morisugi which we describe next. Let K, G, α be as above, and consider the Mann-Miller-Miller transfer $t_K^G : BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G+\alpha} \longrightarrow BK^{\mathrm{ad}_K+\alpha|_K}$.

Theorem 3.10. *([45, Theorem 1.3]) Suppose $G/K = S(U)$ as G-spaces for some G-representation U, where $S(U)$ is the sphere in U with a certain metric. Let $\lambda = EG \times_G U \to BG$. Then, there exists a cofibration of spectra as*

$$BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G+\alpha-\lambda} \longrightarrow BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G+\alpha} \xrightarrow{t_K^G} BK^{\mathrm{ad}_K+\alpha|_K} \longrightarrow BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G+\alpha-\lambda+\mathbb{R}}$$

Let's note that Morisugi's theorem considers a more general case, by taking E/G instead of BG with E being any principal G-manifold without boundary, i.e. with a free G-action. Taking E to be contractible, then his theorem reads as above. The following lemma provides an application of the above theorem.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\mathbf{G} = O, SO, Spin, U, SU$, or Sp. Then there is a cofibration sequence of spectra*

$$MT\mathbf{G}(n+1) \xrightarrow{\omega} B\mathbf{G}(n+1)_+ \xrightarrow{t} \Sigma^{1-d} MT\mathbf{G}(n) \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma MT\mathbf{G}(n+1)$$

where $d = 1$ if $\mathbf{G} = O, SO$ or $Spin$, $d = 2$ if $\mathbf{G} = U$ or SU, and $d = 4$ if $\mathbf{G} = Sp$.

Proof. We do the case $\mathbf{G} = O, U$ and the other ones are similar. First, consider usual embedding of $K = O(n) < G = O(n+1)$ with $G/K = S^n = S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ as G-spaces. This shows that $\lambda = \gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Choose $\alpha = -\mathrm{ad}_G$ as the twisting bundle and note that $\mathrm{ad}_G|_K = \mathrm{ad}_K + \gamma_n$. Then result then follows from Morisugi's cofibration.
Second, consider the usual embedding of $K = U(n) < \mathbf{G} = U(n+1)$ with $G/K = S^{2n+1} = S(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ as $U(n+1)$-space which yields $\lambda = \gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbb{C}}$. Choose $\alpha = -\mathrm{ad}_G = -\mathrm{ad}_{U(n+1)}$ and note that $\mathrm{ad}_G|_K = \mathrm{ad}_K + \gamma_n^{\mathbb{C}} + \mathbb{R}^2$. This, then gives the desired cofibre sequence as

$$MTU(n+1) \longrightarrow BU(n+1)_+ \longrightarrow \Sigma^{-1} MTU(n) \longrightarrow \Sigma MTU(n+1).$$

We note that when $\mathbf{G} = SO, Spin$ or SU and $n = 0$, our definition of $B\mathbf{G}(0)$ makes the sequence $S^d \to B\mathbf{G}(n) \to B\mathbf{G}(n+1)$ a fibration. Thus we can modify the proof of [45, Theorem 1.3] to fit our case. □

Remark 3.12. *We note that the cofibre sequences for the cases $\mathbf{G} = O$ and SO in the above theorem coincides with the cofbre sequences of [24, Proposition 3.1]. Also, the cofibre sequence in the case $\mathbf{G} = Spin$ and $n = 1$ of the above theorem, gives rise to the fibration of infinite loop spaces as in [23, (1.3)]. To verify these claims, let's note that the cofibrations of [24] as well as Morisugi's cofibration, are obtained by appealing to James' cofibration. Recall that, for a vector bundle $p : V \to B$ and a (virtual) vector bundle $W \to B$ over a finite complex B, there is a cofibre sequence of spectra, due to James, as*

$$S(V)^{p^*W} \longrightarrow B^W \longrightarrow B^{V+W} \longrightarrow \Sigma S(V)^{p^*W}$$

where $S(V) \to B$ is the sphere bundle of V. Here, the map $B^W \to B^{V+W}$ is just a usual section induced by the embedding $V \to V + W$. Hence, it is standard to see that, the map $MTO(n) \to BO(n)_+$ in [24, Proposition 3.1] and $BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G+\alpha-\lambda} \to BG^{\mathrm{ad}_G+\alpha}$ in [45, Theorem 1.3] are both Thomification of a usual section. If, as the above theorem, we have $\mathbf{G} = O(n)$, $\alpha = -\mathrm{ad}_G$, and $\lambda = -\gamma_n^{\mathbb{R}}$, then Morisugi's map is precisely the zero section considered by Galatius, Madsen, Tillmann and Weiss in [24]. It it then easy application of five lemma that the two cofibre sequences are isomorphic. Other case, follow by similar considerations.

As a non-example, where Morisugi's result does not apply, at least integrally, consider embedding of $K = O(n)$ in $\mathbf{G} = SO(n+1)$ by $X \mapsto (\det X)(X \oplus 1)$. We have $G/K = \mathbb{R}P^n$ which cannot be identified as a sphere in some vector space as $\pi_1 \mathbb{R}P^n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2$.

3.6 Cohomology of Madsen-Tillmann spectra

Let $\mathbf{G} = SU, U$ or Sp and k be an arbitrary field, or $\mathbf{G} = O$ and k a field of characteristic 2, and d be as in Lemma 3.11. Then we have the following.

Lemma 3.13. *The cofibration $\Sigma^{-d} MT\mathbf{G}(n-1) \to MT\mathbf{G}(n) \to \Sigma^\infty B\mathbf{G}(n)_+$ gives rise to a short exact sequence in cohomology*

$$H^*(\Sigma^\infty B\mathbf{G}(n)_+; k) \to H^*(MT\mathbf{G}(n); k) \to H^*(\Sigma^{-d} MT\mathbf{G}(n-1); k),$$

and dually to a short exact sequence in homology

$$H_*(\Sigma^{-d} MT\mathbf{G}(n-1); k) \to H_*(MT\mathbf{G}(n); k) \to H_*(\Sigma^\infty B\mathbf{G}(n)_+; k).$$

Therefore, as graded k-vector spaces, we have isomorphisms

$$H_*(MT\mathbf{G}(n); k) \cong \oplus_{j=0}^n \Sigma^{-dj} H_*(B\mathbf{G}(j); k), H^*(MT\mathbf{G}(n); k) \cong \oplus_{j=0}^n \Sigma^{-dj} H^*(B\mathbf{G}(j); k).$$

Proof. We have $H^*(B\mathbf{G}(n); k) \cong k[z_i, \cdots, z_n]$ where $i = 2$ if $\mathbf{G} = SU$ and $i = 1$ otherwise, with degree of polynomial generators z_m beign equal to dm. By the Thom isomorphism, we have $H^*(MT\mathbf{G}(n); k) \cong z_n^{-1} k[z_1, \cdots, z_n]$. Here the notation means the free $k[z_1, \cdots, z_n]$ module generated by one element z_n^{-1}, and we can consider that this is included in an appropriate localisation ring of $H^*(B\mathbf{G}(n); k)$. Similarly we have $H^*(MT\mathbf{G}(n-1); k) \cong z_{n-1}^{-1} k[z_1, \cdots, z_{n-1}]$. Since the canonical representation γ_n of $\mathbf{G}(n)$ pulls back to $\gamma_{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ over $\mathbf{G}(n-1)$, the map $H^*(MT\mathbf{G}(n); k) \to H^*(\Sigma^{-1} MT\mathbf{G}(n-1); k)$ is given by $z_n^{-1} f(z_1 \cdots z_{n-1}, z_n) \to \sigma^{-1} z_{n-1}^{-1} f(z_1 \cdots z_{n-1}, 0)$ where σ denotes the suspension isomorphism, which is surjective, and the kernel is clearly isomorphic to $k[z_1, \cdots, z_n]$ which is isomorphic to $H^*(B\mathbf{G}(n); k)$. Thus we have the exactness in the cohomology, and by dualising we get the result in homology. The last statement follows by induction on n. □

4 Proof of main results

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. The proof is an application of Proposition 3.9; in the case of existence of split cofibrations the proof is complete once we identify the cofibre of the appropriate transfer map which was carried out in Lemma 3.11.

First, note that through the usual embeddings $O(2n) \to O(2n+1)$ and $SO(2n) \to SO(2n+1)$ we have diffeomorphisms $O(2n+1)/O(2n) \cong SO(2n+1)/SO(2n) \cong S^{2n}$. Moreover, by passing to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$-central extension, we see that $Spin(2n+1)/Spin(2n) \cong S^{2n}$. Since $\chi(S^{2n}) = 2$, then by Proposition 3.9 the transfer map $BG_+ \to BK^{(\mathrm{ad}_K - \mathrm{ad}_{G|K})}$ is split, localised at an odd prime. We have identified the cofibre of this transfer in Lemma 3.11. This

proves Theorem 1.1.B except for the last statement, which will be proved in the next section. Alternatively, this can be shown using Example 3.4.

Now, consider the embeddings $j : O(2n) \longrightarrow SO(2n+1)$ defined by $j(X) = (\det X)(X \oplus 1)$. One sees that the fibre of Bj is $SO(2n+1)/O(2n) \cong \mathbb{R}P^{2n}$ with $\chi(\mathbb{R}P^{2n}) = 1$ (with any coefficient). Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & \\ & W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^{-1} & \\ & W^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} XAX^{-1} & W^{-1}XB \\ (W^{-1}XB)^* & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where X, A are $m \times m$ matrices and W, D are 1×1 matrices. Replacing X and W with $det(X) \cdot X$ and $det(X)$ respectively, we see that

$$j^* ad_{SO(2n+1)} = ad_{O(2n)} \oplus \gamma_{2n}.$$

Thus one can apply Proposition 3.9 to the pair $(G, K) = (SO(2n+1), O(2n))$ considering $O(2n)$ as a subgroup of $SO(2n+1)$ via j. This proves the first case of Theorem 1.1.A.

To prove the other cases, we will need

Lemma 4.1. *Let $j : O(2n) \to SO(2n+1)$ be as above. Then we have*

$$Bj^*(w_2) = w_2 + nw_1^2$$

in mod 2 cohomology.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram where all unnamed arrows are the obvious inclusions, and φ is given by $\phi(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = (aa_1, \ldots, aa_n, a)$ with $a = \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} O(1)^{2n} & & \xrightarrow{\quad\varphi\quad} & & O(1)^{2n+1} \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ O(2n) & \xrightarrow{j} & SO(2n+1) & \longrightarrow & O(2n+1) \end{array}$$

Thus to determine $Bj^*(w_2)$, it suffices to compute $B\varphi^*(\sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n+1}))$ by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. However, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B\varphi^*(\sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n+1})) &= B\varphi^*(\sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) + t_{2n+1}\Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} t_i) \\ &= \sigma_2(B\varphi^*(t_1), \ldots, B\varphi^*(t_{2n})) + B\varphi^*(t_{2n+1})\Sigma_{i=1}^{2n}(B\varphi^*(t_i)) \\ &= \sigma_2(t + t_1, \ldots, t + t_{2n}) + t \cdot \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n}(t + t_i) \\ &= \Sigma_{1 \le i < k \le 2n}(t^2 + (t_i + t_k) + t_i t_k) + (2n+1)t^2 \\ &= n(2n-1)t^2 + t\Sigma_{1 \le i < k \le 2n}(t_i + t_k) + \sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) + t^2 \\ &= n(2n-1)t^2 + t \cdot (2n-1)t + \sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) + t^2 \\ &= nt^2 + \sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \\ &= n\sigma_1(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n})^2 + \sigma_2(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

as required, where we denoted $t = \Sigma_{i=1}^{2n} t_i = \sigma_1(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n})$. □

Now Corollary 2.4 implies that j induces a map of double covers $Pin^{\pm}(2n) \to Spin(2n+1)$, where the sign $\pm$ is $+$ if n is even, $-$ if n is odd. Thus with the choice of appropriate sign, we get the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Pin^{\pm}(2n) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{j}} & Spin(2n+1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ O(2n) & \xrightarrow{j} & SO(2n+1) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the canonical projection. Thus we get a diffeomorphism

$$Spin(2n+1)/\tilde{j}(Pin^{\pm}(2n)) \cong SO(2n+1)/j(O(2n)) \cong \mathbb{R}P^{2n+1}$$

where the sign is $+$ if n is even, $-$ if n is odd. On the other hand, by definition the canonical representations of $Pin^{\pm}(2n)$ and $Spin(2n+1)$ are the pull-back of the canonical representations of $O(2n)$ and $SO(2n+1)$ by the canonical projection. Furthermore, the adjoint representations of $Pin^{\pm}(2n)$ and $Spin(2n+1)$ are the pull-back of the adjoint representations of $O(2n)$ and $SO(2n+1)$ by the canonical projection, since the kernel of the canonical projection is the center. Thus we can apply Proposition 3.9 to prove the cases ii) and iii) of Theorem 1.1.A.

Finally, consider the embedding $U(n) \longrightarrow SU(n+1)$, defined by $X \mapsto X \oplus (\det X)^{-1}$. The fibre of the map of classifying spaces $BU(n) \longrightarrow BSU(n+1)$ is given by the diffeomorphism and $SU(n+1)/U(n) \cong \mathbb{C}P^n$. As in the above,

$$\begin{pmatrix} X & \\ & W \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X^{-1} & \\ & W^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} XAX^{-1} & W^{-1}XB \\ (W^{-1}XB)^* & D \end{pmatrix},$$

where X, A are $m \times m$ matrices and W, D are 1×1 matrices. By setting $W = det(X)^{-1}$ we see that the representation $\mathrm{ad}_G|_K - \mathrm{ad}_K$ is isomorphic to the tensor product (over $\mathbb{C}$) of the canonical representation with the the determinant representation. The following lemma completes the identification of $\mathrm{ad}_G|_K - \mathrm{ad}_K$ in this case and hence completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.C

Lemma 4.2. *Let $p \nmid n+1$. Then the homomorphism $\varphi : A \mapsto \det(A)^{-1}A$ induces a self homotopy equivalence of $BU(n)$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that it induces an automorphism on $H^*(BU(n); \mathbb{Z}/p)$, as $BU(n)$ is of finite type. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U(1)^n & \xrightarrow{\overline{\varphi}} & U(1)^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U(n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & U(n) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the inclusions of the diagonal matrices with entries in $U(1)$, $\overline{\varphi}$ is given by

$$\overline{\varphi}(e^{i\theta_1}, \cdots, e^{i\theta_n}) = (e^{i(\theta_1+\theta)}, \cdots e^{i(\theta_n+\theta)}) \text{ where } \theta = \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n.$$

Now we see that $H^*(B\overline{\varphi})$ on $H^*(BU(1)^n; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$ is given by $H^*(B\overline{\varphi})(x_i) = x_i + c_1$ with $c_1 = x_1 + \cdots + x_n$. Thus by restricting to $H^*(BU(n); \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[c_1, \cdots, c_n]$, we see that

$$H^*(B\varphi)(c_1) = (1+n)c_1, H^*(B\varphi)(c_i) \equiv c_i \bmod (c_1) \text{ for } i > 1.$$

Thus $H^*(B\varphi)$ is an automorphism if and only if p doesn't divide $n+1$. Now, we note that the pull-back by φ of the canonical representation γ_n is just $\det \otimes \gamma_n$, so using the same notation for the bundle and representation, we get a bundle map $\det \otimes \gamma_n \longrightarrow \gamma_n$ over the map φ, and thus $-\det \otimes \gamma_n \longrightarrow -\gamma_n$ as well. Since φ is a homotopy equivalence, we see that the map between the Thom spectra $BU(n)^{-\det \otimes \gamma_n} \longrightarrow BU(n)^{-\gamma_n} = MTU(n)$ is also a homotopy equivalence. □

We conclude the section with the proof of Theorem 1.4. First suppose that M is a manifold with reduction of the structure bundle to G. Denote by TM its tangent bundle, $f : M \longrightarrow BG$ its classifying map. Thus $f^*(\gamma) = TM$ where γ is the universal vector bundle over BG. So, the stable normal bundle $-TM$ is a pull-back of $-\gamma$ by f. Thus one can consider the Thomified map $M^{-TM} \longrightarrow MTG$. Now, choose an embedding of the m-dimensional manifold M in an Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$, say $i : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+k}$. Then denoting ν_i the normal bundle of the embedding i which we identify with the tubular neighbourhood of M in $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$, the Thom-Pontrjagin construction provides a map

$$S^{m+k} \longrightarrow M^{\nu_i} = M^{\mathbb{R}^{m+k}-TM}.$$

The Pontrjagin-Thom construction for the composition of the embedding $M \to \mathbb{R}^{m+k}$ with the standard inclusion $\mathbb{R}^{m+k} \to \mathbb{R}^{m+k+1}$ yields a map $S^{m+k+1} \longrightarrow M^{\mathbb{R}^{m+k+1}-TM}$ which is the suspension of the previous map. We then, by stabilising, obtain a stable map

$$S^0 \longrightarrow M^{-TM}.$$

The composition $S^0 \longrightarrow M^{-TM} \longrightarrow MTG$ is the Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss map associated to the fibre bundle $M \times pt \longrightarrow pt$ with TM having a G-structure. It is then a consequence of Hopf's vector filed theorem [6, Theorem 4] that the composition

$$S^0 \longrightarrow M^{-TM} \longrightarrow MTG \longrightarrow BG_+ \longrightarrow S^0$$

where $MTG \longrightarrow BG_+$ is the zero section and $BG_+ \longrightarrow S^0$ the collapse map, is a map of degree $\chi(M)$. Therefore, if $\chi(M)$ is not a multiple of p, we obtain a splitting of S^0 off MTG using the Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss map. Noting that $\mathbb{R}P^{2n}$ has the tangent bundle with structure group $O(2n)$, that can be lifted to $Pin^{\pm}(2n)$ according to the parity of n and $\chi(\mathbb{R}P^{2n}) = 1$, we get (i). Noting that S^{2n}) has the tangent bundle with structure group $SO(2n)$ and $\chi(SO(2n)) = 2$, we get (ii). Finally, noting that $\mathbb{R}P^n$, $\mathbb{C}P^n$ and $\mathbb{H}P^n$ have the tangent bundle with structure group $O(n)$, $U(n)$ and $Sp(n)$ respectively,and $\chi(\mathbb{C}P^n) = \chi(\mathbb{H}P^n) = n + 1$, we get the (ii).

5 $MTO(n)$ at odd primes

Let p be an odd prime, and let all spectra be localised at p. The following might be well-known, but we don't know of any published account except for the cases of $MTO(1)$ and $MTO(2)$, c. f. [48, subsection 5.1], and we record a proof of it.

Lemma 5.1. *Localise at p, for all $n \geqslant 0$, there are homotopy equivalences*

$$MTO(2n) \simeq BO(2n)_+ \simeq BSO(2n+1)_+ \simeq BSp(n)_+,\ MTO(2n+1) \simeq *.$$

The above lemma will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. The proof is by strong induction based on the following ingredients: (1) the Becker-Gottlieb transfer map $BO(2n+1)_+ \to BO(2n)_+$ is an equivalence localised at p, so its homotopy fibre is equivalent to a point; (2) the Becker-Gottlieb transfer $BO(2n+1)_+ \to BO(2n)_+$ factors as $BO(2n+1)_+ \to MTO(2n) \to BO(2n)_+$ which we discussed in the proof Proposition 3.9. Note that the induction starts since

$$MTO(0) \simeq S^0 \simeq BO(0)_+.$$

Suppose now we have $MTO(2n) \simeq BO(2n)_+$ and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} MTO(2n+1) & \longrightarrow & BO(2n+1)_+ & \longrightarrow & MTO(2n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ * & \longrightarrow & BO(2n+1)_+ & \longrightarrow & BO(2n)_+ \end{array}$$

where rows are cofibrations, and the two downward arrows are equivalences. Since the inclusion $O(2n) \to O(2n+1)$ induces p-local equivalence $BO(2n) \simeq BO(2n+1)$ by [54, Theorem 1.6], and the composition $BO(2n+1)_+ \to BO(2n)_+ \to BO(2n+1)_+$ is the multiplication by $\chi(S^{2n}) = 2$, we see that the right horizontal arrow is a homotopy equivalence. It then follows that the third arrow $MTO(2n+1) \to *$ is also a homotopy equivalence.

Next, the cofibration $MTO(2(n+1)) \to BO(2(n+1))_+ \to MTO(2n+1)$ together with $MTO(2n+1) \simeq *$ will show that $MTO(2(n+1)) \to BO(2(n+1))_+$ is an equivalence at p. This finishes the induction.

The standard maps $BO(2n+1) \to BSO(2n+1)$ and $BSp(n) \to BO(2n)$ are well-known to be p-local homotopy equivalences. This complete the proof. □

6 Polynomial families in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTG; \mathbb{Z}/p)$

In this section we prove Theorems 1.5 and 1.6.

of Theorem 1.5. Consider the composition

$$\Omega^\infty MTG \longrightarrow QBG_+ \xrightarrow{Qc} QS^0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times BO.$$

We first show that this composition induces an injection in cohomology. By Lemma 2.12 the map $H_*(QS^0; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H_*(\mathbb{Z} \times BO; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is surjective in homology. By the hypothesis and Corollary 1.3 the map $\Omega^\infty MTG \longrightarrow QBG_+ \xrightarrow{Qc} QS^0$ splits, so it is also surjective in homology. Thus by composing and dualizing, we see that $H^*(BO; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, \ldots, w_k, \ldots]$ injects to $H^*(MTG; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Noting that the image of w_k is ξ_k, we get the desired result. □

In the special case $G = O(2)$, the family discussed above agrees with the one defined in [48, Section 6] up to conjugation, and generates the same subalgebra. Theorem 1.5 is specialised to the 2-primary case. However, we have an analogous definition to Randal-Williams' definition for odd prime which we have stated as Theorem 1.6. Below we sketch its proof.

of Theorem 1.6. Note that the unit map of KU localised at p factors through j_E, as for degree reasons, $\pi_0(\Sigma^{2i} E(1)) = 0$ if $0 \leq i \leq p-2$. Thus the result follows by Lemma 2.13, Proposition 2.14 and Corollary 1.3 as in the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

7 The universally defined characteristic classes in modulo p cohomology

In this section we discuss how our splitting results can be used to analyze the universally defined characteristic classes in modulo p cohomology. We will restrict ourselves to the case of $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(m); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ for the sake of concreteness.

Dualizing Lemma 2.17, $\sigma^{\infty *} : H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^*(Q_0BSO(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is injective, and by Corollary 1.2, $H^*(Q_0BSO(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is injective. Thus the composition $H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2) \longrightarrow H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is injective. Of course, it is not a ring map as $\sigma^{\infty *}$ is not, its natural right inverse is. Thus the universally defined characteristic classes that are images of the standard polynomial generators of $H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ are algebraically independent. But of course the standard polynomial generators of $H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ do not map to polynomial generators of $H^*(BO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2)$, which makes things a little bit complicated.

For example, let's take the case of $\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(2)$, $p = 2$. Then we have $H^*(BSO(3);\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, w_3]$, $H^*(BO(2);\mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, w_2]$, and the map $BO(2) \longrightarrow BSO(3)$ induces a map $w_2 \mapsto w_2 + w_1^2$ by Lemma 4.1, and by similar arguments we get $w_3 \mapsto w_1 w_2$. One can derive from this the classes $\mu_{1,1}$ and $\mu_{0,1} + \mu^2_{1,0}$ as defined in [48] are algebraically independent. So far, we haven't done a detailed analysis of the homology suspension map. We will examine the homology suspension more carefully to prove Theorem 1.8.

of Theorem 1.8. By naturality of the suspension map, the composition $H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(BO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(Q_0BO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ factors through $H^*(Q_0BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$. As $H^*(Q_0BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ splits off $H^*(\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(m);\mathbb{Z}/2)$, it suffices to show the corresponding results, with $\nu_{i_2,\dots i_{m+1}}$ replaced with $\sigma^{\infty *}(w_2^{i_2} \cdots w_{m+1}^{i_{m+1}})$ in $H^*(Q_0BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Now, let X be any space. The map $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ commutes with squaring, and the map $H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ commutes with any product, *a fortiori* squaring, and the composition $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the identity. Therefore an element of $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is a square if and only if its image in $H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is a square. Furthermore, the map $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ factors through $PH^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$, and by [42, Proposition 4.21], the only elements in the kernel of the map $PH^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to QH^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ are squares. Thus the kernel of the map $Sym(H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)) \to H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ extending the map $H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^*(Q_0X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is the ideal generated by the elements $[x^2] - [x]^2$ where $x \in H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$, $[x]$ is the corresponding element in $Sym(H^*(X;\mathbb{Z}/2))$. Combining these with the fact that $H^*(BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is polynomial, and so is $H^*(Q_0BSO(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/2)$, we get the desired result. □

Remark 7.1. *1. It is easy to see that once we express ν's in terms of μ's, the relations $\nu^2_{i_2,\cdots,i_{m+1}} = \nu_{2i_2,\cdots,2i_{m+1}}$ follow from the ones $\mu^2_{i_2,\cdots,i_{m+1}} = \mu_{2i_2,\cdots,2i_{m+1}}$, and the latter relations were essentially found in [48].*

2. The arguments above also apply to other pairs G, K and at any prime, as long as $H^*(BK;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is polynomial. The proof is completely similar at prime 2, and at odd primes, we just have to remark that we only have to work with the subalgebras of $H^*(QBK_+;\mathbb{Z}/p)$ generated by the elements dual to that of $H^*(BK;\mathbb{Z}/p)$, which is polynomial by [56, Theorem 3.11].

Example 7.2. *Let's consider the case $n = 1$. As the map induced by $BO(2) \to BSO(3)$ in cohomology is a ring homomorphism, we have $(w_2)^i \mapsto (w_2 + w_1^2)^i$, $w_3^j \mapsto w_1^j w_2^j$. Thus in low degrees, we have following algebraically independent elements in degrees less than or equal to*

9.

degree	*elements in terms of* ν	*elements in terms of* μ
2	$\nu_{1,0}$	$\mu_{0,1} + \mu_{1,0}^2$
3	$\nu_{0,1}$	$\mu_{1,1}$
4	*N.A.*	*N.A.*
5	$\nu_{1,1}$	$\mu_{1,2} + \mu_{3,1}$
6	$\nu_{3,0}$	$\mu_{0,3} + \mu_{1,1}^2 + \mu_{4,1} + \mu_{3,0}^2$
7	$\nu_{1,2}$	$\mu_{2,3} + \mu_{2,1}^2$
8	$\nu_{2,1}$	$\mu_{2,3} + \mu_{2,1}^2$
9	$\nu_{3,1},\ \nu_{0,3}$	$\mu_{1,4} + \mu_{3,3} + \mu_{5,2} + \mu_{7,1},\ \mu_{3,3}$ *(resp.)*

8 Cohomology with integer coefficients

In this section, we discuss the impication of our splitting theorems to the cohomology of MTK spectra with p-local integer coefficients. Let (K, G) be a pair satisfying hypotheses of Theorems 1.1.A, 1.1.B or 1.1.C, and choose p accordingly. Then p-locally BG_+ splits off MTK, therefore $H^*(QBG_+; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is a direct summand of $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTK; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$. Since $H^*(QBG_+; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ can be described completely in terms of $H^*(BG_+; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$, which is completely known in all cases ($H^*(BSpin(n); \mathbb{Z})$ which we didn't discuss in Section 2 is known by [32]) we have a complete knowledge of this summand. Unfortunately because of the presence of torsion, we don't have Künneth isomorphism, $H^*(QBG_+; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ as well as $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTK; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ only have the structure of algebras, and not coalgebras, which makes it rather difficult to work with them concretely. However, we still can get some information on them. For example, it follows immediately from [38, Theorem 4.13] that they contain a summand of order p^i for any i.

Without localisation, even less can be said: we can still say, under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1.A, we have $H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ that splits off $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTK; \mathbb{Z})$. As a matter of fact a similar statement holds for any generalized cohomology. We show that in the case of ordinary cohomology with integer coefficients, this implies that the non-divisibility of generalised Wahl classes, Theorem 1.9.

Let's start from a definition.

Definition 8.1. *$\zeta_{i_1,\dots i_m} \in H^*(MTO(2m); \mathbb{Z})$ is the universally defined characteristic class associated to the monomial in the Pontryagin class $p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_m^{i_m}$. In other words, $\zeta_{i_1,\dots i_m} = \omega^*(\sigma^{\infty^*}(p_1^{i_1} \cdots p_m^{i_m}))$.*

Thus given a $2m$-dimensional bundle $E \to B$ classified by Madsen-Tillmann-Weiss map $f : B \to \Omega_0^\infty MTO(2m)$, one can define $\zeta_{i_1,\dots i_m}(E) = f^*(\zeta_{i_1,\dots i_m}) \in H^*(B; \mathbb{Z})$. When $m = 1$, we recover Wahl's classes ζ_i. Given a surface bundle $E \to B$, Wahl defines $\zeta_i(E) \in H^{4i}(E; \mathbb{Z})$ to be the image by the transfer $H^{4i}(E; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{4i}(B; \mathbb{Z})$. Although our definition differs from hers, as in [48, Theorem 2.4] one can prove that the both definitions agree ([48, Example 2.5]).

Now we proceed to prove Theorem 1.9. By the naturality of the cohomology suspension, the following square commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(BSO(2m+1); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^*(BO(2m); \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H^*(MTO(2m); \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ H^*(QBSO(2m+1); \mathbb{Z}) & & \longrightarrow & & H^*(\Omega^\infty MTO(2m); \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

Note that by Theorem 1.1.A, $BSO(2m+1)_+$ splits off $MTO(2m)$, thus $QBSO(2m+1)_+$ splits off $\Omega^\infty MTO(2m)$. By Proposition 2.16 $\Sigma^\infty BSO(2m+1)_+$ splits off $\Sigma^\infty QBSO(2m+1)_+$. Combining these we see that $\Sigma^\infty BSO(2m+1)_+$ splits off $\Sigma^\infty\Omega^\infty MTO(2m)$. Thus the composition $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(\Omega^\infty MTO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$ is a split monomorphism of abelian groups.

On the other hand, $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z})$ is also a direct summand of $H^*(BO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$, with the quotient group consisting only of torsion elements. Thus we have a sequence of maps

$$\mathbb{Z}[p_1,\dots p_m] \subset H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(BO(2m);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[p'_1,\dots p'_m] \oplus T \to \mathbb{Z}[p'_1,\dots p'_m]$$

where the composition is an isomorphism. In other words, a monomial in p''s is, up to torsion elements, the image of a non-divisible element in $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z})$. But by definition the ζ-classes are the images of monomials in p''s, thus up to torsion elements, they are images of non-divisible element in $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z})$. Since $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(\Omega^\infty MTO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$ is a split mono, a non-divisible element in the former maps to a non-divisible element in the latter. Now, as in the proof of 2.16, we can replace $\Omega^\infty MTO(2m)$ with $\Omega^\infty_0 MTO(2m)$ which completes the proof.

We remark that in general, there is no reason to expect that a monomial in p''s in $H^*(BO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$ is actually the image of an element in $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z})$. As a matter of fact, Chern classes in $H^*(BSO(2m+1);\mathbb{Z})$ map to Chern classes in $H^*(BO(2m);\mathbb{Z})$, but $c_2 i$ can pull back to a polynomial involving c_{2j+1} with $j<i$.

We also note that in the above, we started with non-divisible elements in $H^*(BG;\mathbb{Z})$. In some cases, it may happen that the characterstic class is already divisible in $H^*(BG;\mathbb{Z})$, in which case its image in $H^*(\Omega^\infty MTK;\mathbb{Z})$ will have the same divisibility. Thus if we take the pair (K,G) to be $(Pin^-(2), Spin(3))$, we get the first part of [49, Proposition 5.3], modulo the homological stability [49, Theorem 4.19].

To conclude the section, let's remark that as is noted in [55], if the fiber of the surface bundle $E \to B$ is orientable, $\zeta_i(E)$ agrees with $\kappa_{2i}(E)$, where κ_{2i} is the well-known $2i$-th Mumford-Miller-Morita class. As a matter of fact $p'_1 \in H^*(BO(2);\mathbb{Z})$ restricts to $p_1 \in H^*(BSO(2);\mathbb{Z})$, and if we denote c_1 the first Chern class of the standard representation of $SO(2)$ considered as 1-dimensional complex representation, then we have $c_1 = \chi \in H^*(BSO(2);\mathbb{Z})$, thus we have $p_1 = c_1^2 \in H^*(BSO(2);\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore $\overline{\nu}_{p'^i_1} = \overline{\nu}_{c_1^{2i}}$. In other words, $\zeta_i(E)$ is the transfer of $c_1^{2i} \in H^*(E;\mathbb{Z})$ to $H^*(B;\mathbb{Z})$. Usually the classes the classes κ_i's are defined to be the push-forward of $c_1^{2i+1} \in H^*(E;\mathbb{Z})$ to $H^*(B;\mathbb{Z})$, but as is mentioned in [21, Section 3], by Theorem 3.6, the push-forward of c_1^{2i+1} agrees with the transfer of c_1^{2i}. Thus we have $\zeta_i(E) = \kappa_{2i}(E)$.

9 Non-exactness results

We devote this section to the proof of Proposition 1.10. We will prove it by considering the behaviour of the homology suspension map, applying our splitting results.

of Proposition 1.10. Let $\mathbf{K}$, m, G and p be as in hypothesis of Proposition 1.10. We show that the sequence of Hopf algebras induced by the cofibre sequence $MT\mathbf{K}(m+1) \to \Sigma^\infty B\mathbf{K}(m)_+ \to MT\mathbf{K}(m)$

$$H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m+1);\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H_*(Q_0 B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is not short exact. More precisely, we will show that the map $H_*(Q_0 B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is not surjective.

By the naturality of the homology suspension, the following square is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(Q_0B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) & \longrightarrow & H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma^\infty_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma^\infty_*} \\ H_*(\Sigma^\infty B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) & \longrightarrow & H_*(MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p). \end{array}$$

Suppose that the top horizontal map $H_*(Q_0B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) \to H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is onto. By Lemma 2.17. the left vertical map is onto. On the other hand, Lemma 3.13 implies that the bottom horizontal map is trivial. Combining these, we see that the the right vertical map, the homology suspension $H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p) \to H_*(MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is trivial. However, our splitting results imply that $H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p))$ contains a tensor factor isomorphic to $H_*(Q_0BG_+;\mathbb{Z}/p)$, on which the homology suspension is nontrivial again by Lemma 2.17, which is a contradiction. Thus the map $H_*(Q_0B\mathbf{K}(m+1)_+;\mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow H_*(\Omega^\infty_0 MT\mathbf{K}(m);\mathbb{Z}/p)$ is not surjective.

□

A Notes on the construction of the Becker-Gottlieb transfer

The original construction of Becker-Gottlieb transfer [6, Section 3] takes place within the framework of parametrised homotopy theory which for a given fibre bundle $\pi : E \to B$ with B being a finite complex, associates a transfer map $t_\pi : B_+ \to E_+$. This construction, later on, was generalised to the case of Hurewicz fibrations [8, Section 5], still under the assumption of finiteness of the base B. As noted by Adams [2, Page 108] the finiteness condition was mainly there to guarantee that the construction yields a map of spaces. More recent treatments of the Becker-Gottlieb transfer map take place within the framework of (equivariant) fibre-wise homotopy theory where finiteness of B is not necessarily required [40, Chapter XVIII, Section 1] (see also [39, Chapter 15], [35, Chapter IV]) and it is replaced with more general condition of which we have considered the base of the fibre bundle, and possibly the total space, admitting a filtration by compact subcomplexes or submanifolds See Remark 3.7 and Remark A.1).

Next, we wish to consider the original construction of Becker-Gottlieb. The umkehr map $c = i_! : S^V \to F^{\nu_i}$ associated to a G-equivariant embedding $i : F \to V$, with V being a G-module, and the Thomified map $F^{\nu_i} \to (F \times V)_+$ induced by the zero section $\nu_i \to F \times V$, lies at the heart of original construction of Becker-Gottlieb [6, Section 3] as well as its construction through umkehr maps which we recalled earlier. Below, we recall the original construction of Becker-Gottlieb, and see the above tools also lie at the heart of Becker-Gottlieb's construction.

Suppose $P \to B$ is a principal G-bundle for $\pi : E \to B$, i.e. $E = P \times_G F$, and let $E_\eta = P \times_G V \xrightarrow{\eta} B$ be the vector bundle with fibre V associated to π. For $\eta^\perp : E_{\eta^\perp} \to B$ being the orthogonal complement of η in $B \times V$, we may consider

$$(P \times_G S^V) \wedge_B E_{\eta^\perp} \longrightarrow (P \times_G (F_+ \wedge S^V)) \wedge_B E_{\eta^\perp}$$

which after pinching B to a point, yields a map

$$B^{\eta+\eta^\perp} \longrightarrow E^{j^*(\eta+\eta^\perp)}.$$

After choosing a trivialisation $\eta + \eta^{\perp} \simeq B \times \mathbb{R}^k$ where $k = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V$, this yields the Becker-Gottlieb transfer

$$t_\pi : B_+ \to E_+.$$

One may note that in the above constriction, by replacing V with $V + \alpha$, still trivialising $\eta + \eta^{\perp}$, we obtain the twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer as considered before. This is the original construction of Becker and Gottlieb; it is based on fibre-wise use of a map obtained a umkehr map followed by a suitable zero section, whereas the latter treatments of this transfer, starts with an umkehr map for an embedding of bundles followed by a zero section.

Remark A.1. *By the above construction, depending on the value of $k < +\infty$ in a trivialisation $\phi : \eta + \eta^{\perp} \cong B \times \mathbb{R}^k$ one obtains a genuine map of spaces $\Sigma^k B_+ \to \Sigma^k E_+$ which then yields a stable map $B_+ \to E_+$. As noted by Adams [2, Page 108], the requirement of B being a finite dimensional complex is caused by the authors urge to find a finite k for the trivialisation, which then allows them to construct an actual map of spaces as $\Sigma^k B_+ \to \Sigma^k E_+$. This requirement of being finite dimensional then may be lifted, allowing all complexes which admit a suitable filtration by finite dimensional subcomplexes, say compact complexes. By allowing such complexes, the outcome of the construction, may not be a map of spaces but a collection of maps that fit together nicely and determine a map of spectra. For instance, fibre bundles associated with classical Lie groups fall into this category. This point of view is analogous to the one mentioned in Remark 3.7.*

B Multiplicative properties of twisted Becker-Gottlieb transfer

This section is devoted to a sketch proof of Theorem 3.3. The proof follows naturally, once we develop the basic and multiplicative properties of the twisted transfer maps. These properties are analogous to [6, Sections 3,5] which are natural properties and follow immediately from the construction. We record these properties.

(1) Suppose $\pi_2 : E_2 \to B_2$ and $\pi_1 : E_1 \to B_1$ are fibre bundles as above, with $\zeta_2 \to B_2$ and $\zeta_1 \to B_2$ two (virtual) vector bundles. Suppose we have a map of fibre bundles given by the following commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{h_E} & E_2 \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_1}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ B_1 & \xrightarrow{h_B} & B_2 \end{array}$$

so that the maps h_E and h_B are covered by bundle maps $\zeta_1 \to \zeta_2$ and $\pi_1^*\zeta_1 \to \pi_2^*\zeta_2$. This yields a commutative square as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B_1^{\zeta_1} & \xrightarrow{h_B} & B_2^{\zeta_2} \\ {\scriptstyle t^{\zeta_1}_{\pi_1}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^{\zeta_2}_{\pi_2}} \\ E_1^{\pi_1^*\zeta_1} & \xrightarrow{h_E} & E_2^{\pi_2^*\zeta_2} \end{array}$$

where we have retained h_E and h_B for the Thomified maps. This is analogous to [6, (3.2)].
(2) Next, note that for a fibre bundle $\pi : E \to B$ and a complex X, admitting a filtration by

finite subcomplexes (compact subspaces), we may consider the fibre bundle $\pi \times 1_X : E \times X \to B \times X$, as well as the vector bundle $\zeta \times 0 \to B \times X$. We then have

$$t^{\zeta \times 0}_{\pi \times 1_X} = t^{\zeta}_{\pi} \wedge 1 : E^{\pi^*\zeta} \wedge X_+ \to B^{\zeta} \wedge X_+.$$

This generalises to $t^{\zeta_1 \times \zeta_2}_{\pi_1 \times \pi_2} = t^{\zeta_1}_{\pi_1} \wedge t^{\zeta_2}_{\pi_2}$ as [15, (2.2)]. But, we shall not use this in this paper.
(3) Finally, for the trivial bundle $\pi : F \to \{0\}$, identifying $\{0\}_+ = S^0$, the composition $\pi t_\pi : S^0 \to S^0$ has degree $\chi(F)$ [6, (3.4)]. Note that this is just Hopf's vector field theorem, quoted above.
An application properties (1) and (2) allows us to prove a multiplicative formula for the (co)homology of transfer. More precisely, for a fibre bundle $\pi : E \to B$, and a twisting vector bundle $\zeta \to B$, consider $1_B \times \pi : B \times E \to B \times B$. Note that the diagonal map $d_B : B \to B \times B$ and $(\pi \times 1_E) d_E$ induce a map of fibre bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E & \xrightarrow{d_E} & E \times E & \xrightarrow{\pi \times 1_E} & B \times E \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_B \times \pi} \\ B & & \xrightarrow{\quad d_B \quad} & & B \times B. \end{array}$$

Noting that the vector bundle $0 \to B$ is just the identity map $1_B : B \to B$, we see that the horizontal maps are covered by bundle maps $\zeta \to \zeta \times 0$ and $\pi^*\zeta \to (1_B \times \pi)^*(\zeta \times 0) = \zeta \times 0$. Now, the above diagram, upon applying properties (1) and (2), yields a commutative diagram as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B^{\zeta} & & \xrightarrow{\quad d_B \quad} & & B^{\zeta} \wedge B_+ \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle t^{\zeta}_{\pi}} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_{B^{\zeta}} \wedge tr} \\ E^{\pi^*\zeta} & \xrightarrow{d_E} & E^{\pi^*\zeta} \wedge E_+ & \xrightarrow{\pi^{\zeta} \wedge 1_E} & B^{\zeta} \wedge E_+ \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows, known as Thom diagonals, as usual are maps induced on the level of Thom spectra/space [50, IV.5.36],[18, 2.0.1]. The following lemma is immediate upon taking (co)homology of the above diagram together, using standard properties of products.

Lemma B.1. *For $x \in H^*B^{\zeta}$ and $y \in H^*E$, we have $t^{\zeta}_{\pi}{}^*(\pi^{\zeta *}(x) \cup y) = x \cup t_{\pi}{}^*(y)$.*
Here $\cup$ is a 'generalised' cup product induced by the generalised Thom diagonal maps.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Haynes Miller for helpful correspondences and to Oscar Randal-Williams for helpful correspondences and his comments on earlier versions of this paper. We also thank the referees of various journals for their critical readings. The first author thanks IPM and University of Tehran for their hospitality. The second author thanks Institut Fourier for its hospitality during visits during June 2013 and October 2014.

References

[1] J. F. Adams, 'Lectures on generalised cohomology' In Category Theory, Homology Theory and their Applications, III (Battelle Institute Conference, Seattle, Wash., 1968, Vol. Three), pages 1–138. *Springer, Berlin*, 1969.

[2] J.F. Adams, 'Infinite loop spaces' Hermann Weyl Lectures. *Annals of Mathematics Studies, 90. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press. Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press.* X, 214 p. (1978)., 1978.

[3] Alejandro Adem and R. James Milgram, 'Cohomology of finite groups' volume 309 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. *Springer- Verlag, Berlin*, second edition, 2004.

[4] Mathew Ando, Andrew J. Blumberg, and David Gepner, 'Parametrized spectra, multiplicative thom spectra, and the twisted umkehr map' arXiv:1112:2203.

[5] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro, 'Clifford modules' *Topology*, 3(suppl. 1):3–38, 1964.

[6] J.C. Becker, D. H. Gottlieb 'The transfer map and fiber bundles' *Topology* 14:1–12, 1975.

[7] James C. Becker, Reinhard E. Schultz 'The real semicharacteristic of a fibered manifold' *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* (2) 33(132):385–403, 1982.

[8] . J.C. Becker and Daniel H. Gottlie, 'Transfer maps for fibrations and duality' *Compos. Math.*, 33:107–133, 1976.

[9] J.C. Becker and R.E. Schultz 'Equivariant function spaces and stable homotopy theory. I' *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 49:1–34, 1974.

[10] J. M. Boardman, 'Stable homotopy theory' PhD thesis, University of Warwick, *mimeographed notes from Warwick and Johns Hopkins Universities*, 1964.

[11] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, 'Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces. I' *Amer. J. Math.*, 80:458–538, 1958.

[12] Armand Borel, 'Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts' *Ann. of Math.* (2), 57:115–207, 1953.

[13] A. K. Bousfield, 'The localization of spectra with respect to homology' *Topology*, 18(4):257–281, 1979.

[14] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan 'Homotopy limits, completions and localizations' Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York*, 1972.

[15] G. Brumfiel and Ib Madsen, 'Evaluation of transfer and universal classes' *Inv. Math.*, 1976.

[16] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat and Cécile DeWitt-Morette, 'Analysis, manifolds and physics' Part II. *North-Holland Publishing Co.*, Amsterdam, 1989. 92 applications.

[17] Ralph L. Cohen and John R. Klein, 'Umkehr maps' *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 11(1):17–33, 2009.

[18] Adrian P. Dobson ' KO-theory of Thom complexes' PhD thesis, *University of Manchester*, 2007.

[19] Johannes Ebert 'A vanishing theorem for characteristic classes of odd-dimensional manifold bundles' *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 684:1–29, 2013.

[20] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams, 'On the divisibility of characteristic classes of nonoriented surface bundles' *Topology Appl.*, 156(2):246–250, 2008.

[21] Johannes Ebert and Oscar Randal-Williams, 'Generalised Miller-Morita-Mumford classes for block bundles and topological bundles' *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 14(2):1181–1204, 2014.

[22] Søren Galatius 'Mod p homology of the stable mapping class group' *Topology* 43(5):1105– 1132, 2004

[23] Søren Galatius. Mod 2 homology of the stable spin mapping class group. Math. Ann., 334(2):439–455, 2006.

[24] Søren Galatius, Ulrike Tillmann, Ib Madsen, and Michael Weiss, 'The homotopy type of the cobordism category' *Acta Math.* 202(2):195–239, 2009.

[25] M. J. Hopkins and J. R. Hunton 'On the structure of spaces representing a Landweber exact cohomology theory' *Topology*, 34(1):29–36, 1995.

[26] John Hunton and Nigel Ray, 'A rational approach to Hopf rings' *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 101(3):313–333, 1995.

[27] Dale Husemoller 'Fibre bundles' volume 20 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. *Springer-Verlag, New York*, third edition, 1994.

[28] Takuji Kashiwabara, Hadi Zare 'Splitting madsen-tillmann spectra II. the Steinberg idempotents and Whitehead conjecture' In preparation.

[29] R. C. Kirby and L. R. Taylor. A calculation of Pin_+ bordism groups. Comment. Math. Helv., 65(3):434–447, 1990.

[30] R. C. Kirby and L. R. Taylor. Pin structures on low-dimensional manifolds. In Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds, 2 (Durham, 1989), volume 151 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 177–242. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[31] Akira Kono 'On the mod p decomposition of $Q(\mathbf{C}\mathrm{P}^\infty)$' *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 20(4):893–896, 1984

[32] Akira Kono 'On the integral cohomology of BSpin(n)' *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 26(3):333–337, 1986.

[33] Nicholas J. Kuhn, 'Localization of André–Quillen–Goodwillie towers, and the periodic homology of infinite loopspaces' *Advances in Mathematics*, 201(2):318–378, 2006.

[34] T. Y. Lam 'The algebraic theory of quadratic forms' *Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc.*, Advanced Book Program, Reading, Mass., 1980. Revised second printing, Mathematics Lecture Note Series.

[35] L.G.jun. Lewis, J.P. May, and M. Steinberger. Equivariant stable homotopy theory. With contributions by J. E. McClure. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1213. Berlin etc.: Springer-Verlag. IX, 538 p. (1986)., 1986.

[36] Saunders MacLane 'Categories for the Working Mathematician' *Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971 Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Vol. 5.

[37] Benjamin M. Mann, Edward Y. Miller, and Haynes R. Miller 'S^1-equivariant function spaces and characteristic classes' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 295:233–256, 1986.

[38] J. P. May 'Homology of E_∞-Spaces' volume 533 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. *Springer- Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York*, 1976.

[39] J. P. May and J. Sigurdsson, 'Parametrized homotopy theory' Providence, RI: *American Mathematical Society* (AMS), 2006.

[40] J.P. May, 'Equivariant homotopy and cohomology theory' Dedicated to the memory of Robert J. Piacenza. Providence, RI: AMS, *American Mathematical Society,* 1996.

[41] Haynes Miller 'Universal Bernoulli numbers and the S^1-transfer' In *Current trends in algebraic topology, Part 2 (London, Ont., 1981)*, volume 2 of *CMS Conf. Proc.*, pages 437–449. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982.

[42] John W. Milnor and John C. Moore 'On the structure of Hopf algebras' *Ann. of Math.* (2), 81:211–264, 1965.

[43] Mamoru Mimura, Goro Nishida, and Hirosi Toda 'Mod p decomposition of compact Lie groups' *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.*, 13(3):627–680, 1977/78.

[44] Calvin C. Moore, 'Extensions and low dimensional cohomology theory of locally compact groups. I,II' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113:40–63, 1964.

[45] Kaoru Morisugi 'Graph constructions and transfer maps' *Bull. Fac. Ed. Wakayama Univ. Natur. Sci.*, (59):1–9, 2009.

[46] Stewart Priddy 'Dyer-Lashof operations for the classifying spaces of certain matrix groups' *Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser.*, 26:179–193, 1975.

[47] Stewart Priddy 'Lectures on the stable homotopy of BG' In *Proceedings of the School and Conference in Algebraic Topology* volume 11 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.* pages 289–308, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2007.

[48] Oscar Randal-Williams 'The homology of the stable nonorientable mapping class group' *Algebraic and Geometric Topology* (8):1811-1832, 2008.

[49] Oscar Randal-Williams, 'Homology of the moduli spaces and mapping class groups of framed, r-Spin and Pin surfaces' *J. Topol.*, 7(1):155–186, 2014.

[50] Yuli B. Rudyak, 'On Thom spectra, orientability, and cobordism' *Berlin: Springer*, 1998.

[51] Graeme Segal 'The stable homotopy of complex projective space' *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* (2) 24:1–5, 1973.

[52] Moss E. Sweedler 'Hopf algebras' *Mathematics Lecture Note Series. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York*, 1969.

[53] Mitsuhiro Takeuchi 'A correspondence between Hopf ideals and sub-Hopf algebras' *Manuscripta Math.*, 7:251–270, 1972.

[54] Emery Thomas 'On the cohomology of the real Grassmann complexes and the characteristic classes of n-plane bundles' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 96:67–89, 1960.

[55] Nathalie Wahl, 'Homological stability for the mapping class groups of non-orientable surfaces' *Invent. Math.*, 171(2):389–424, 2008.

[56] Robert J. Wellington 'The unstable Adams spectral sequence for free iterated loop spaces' *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(258):viii+225, 1982.

[57] David Wigner, 'Algebraic cohomology of topological groups' *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 178:83–93, 1973.

[58] Dung Yung Yan 'Stable splittings of $B\mathrm{O}(2n)$ and $B\mathrm{U}(2n)$' *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 124(6):1913–1915, 1996.